

STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR δ -INVERSE STRONGLY ACCRETIVE OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

SHAHRAM REZAPOUR*, SEYYED HASAN ZAKERI

Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract. By using an iteration method, we study some variational inequalities including an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator in Banach spaces. We present a strong convergence theorem for the production sequence method.

Keywords. δ -inverse strongly accretive operator, Monotone mapping, Strong convergence, Variational inequality problems.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H05, 47J20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space H and P_D the metric projection of H onto D . A mapping $B : D \rightarrow H$ is said to be monotone if

$$\langle Bu - Bv, u - v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

A mapping $B : D \rightarrow H$ is said to be L -Lipschitz if there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\|Bu - Bv\| \leq L\|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

If $L = 1$ then B is called a nonexpansive mapping. We denote by $F(B)$ the set of fixed points of B . B is said to be δ -inverse strongly monotone, if there exists a positive real number δ such that

$$\langle Bu - Bv, u - v \rangle \geq \delta\|Bu - Bv\|^2, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

It is obvious that any δ -inverse strongly monotone B is Lipschitz and $\|Bu - Bv\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\|u - v\|$. Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and let $B : D \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping. The variational inequality problem is to find a point $\tilde{x} \in D$ such that

$$\langle x - \tilde{x}, B\tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in D. \tag{1.1}$$

We denote the solution set of variational inequality problem by $VI(D, B)$. We note that, if $D = H$ then $VI(H, B) = B^{-1}(0)$, where $B^{-1}(0) = \{x \in H : Bx = 0\}$. Let I be identity mapping and T be a nonexpansive mapping of D into itself. If $B = I - T$, then B is $\frac{1}{2}$ -inverse strongly monotone and $F(T) = VI(D, B)$; see [10]. A mapping $T : D \rightarrow D$ is said to be strictly pseudocontractive if there exists $0 \leq k < 1$ such that

$$\|Tu - Tv\|^2 \leq \|u - v\|^2 + k\|(I - T)u - (I - T)v\|^2, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: rezapourshahram@yahoo.ca (S. Rezapour).

Received February 8, 2019; Accepted March 13, 2019.

Let $T : D \rightarrow D$ be a strictly pseudocontractive. If $B = I - T$, then B is $\frac{1-k}{2}$ -inverse strongly monotone and $F(T) = VI(D, B)$; see [20]. Since Stampacchia introduced variational inequality 1.1 in 1964, many authors extensively studied it from viewpoint of existence, stability, solution methods etc; see, e.g., [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20] and the references therein. In 2004, Iiduka, Takahashi and Toyoda [10] introduced the following iterative algorithm

$$x_1 \in D, \quad x_{n+1} = P_D(\lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)P_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n)), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.2)$$

P_D is the metric projection from H onto D and $B : D \rightarrow H$ is δ -inverse strongly monotone, $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in (-1, 1)$ and $\alpha_n \in [c, d]$ for some $c, d \in (0, 2(1+a)\delta)$. They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.2) converges weakly to some element of $VI(D, B)$.

In 2005, Iiduka and Takahashi [11] introduced the following iterative algorithm for finding solutions to a variational inequality involving a δ -inverse strongly monotone mapping and obtained the strongly convergence of the following iterative process

$$x_1 \in D, \quad x_{n+1} = \lambda_n x + (1 - \lambda_n)P_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where $B : D \rightarrow H$ is a δ -inverse strongly monotone mapping, $\lambda_n \in [0, 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| < \infty$ and $\alpha_n \in [c, d]$ for some $c, d \in (0, 2\delta)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$. They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.3) converges strongly to $P_{VI(D, B)}x$. Recently, Alghamdi, Shahzad and Zegeye [1] suggested the following iterative algorithm for finding a common solution of two variational inequality problems involving Lipschitz monotone mappings B_1, B_2

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = P_D(x_n - \alpha_n B_2 x_n), \\ y_n = P_D(x_n - \alpha_n B_1 x_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \lambda_n f(x_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)(a_n x_n + b_n P_D(x_n - \alpha_n B_1 y_n) + c_n P_D(x_n - \alpha_n B_2 z_n)), \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.4)$$

where $f : D \rightarrow D$ is a contraction mapping, $\alpha_n \in [a, b] \subset (0, \frac{1}{L})$ for $L := \max\{L_1, L_2\}$ (L_1, L_2 Lipschitz constants). They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.4) converge to a point $q \in VI(D, B_1) \cap VI(D, B_2)$.

Next, we turn our attention to the following generalized variational inequality problem in the framework of Banach spaces. Let X be a Banach space and let X^* the dual space of X . Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of X . The generalized variational inequality problem is to find a point $\tilde{x} \in D, j(x - \tilde{x}) \in J(x - \tilde{x})$ such that

$$\langle j(x - \tilde{x}), B\tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in D. \quad (1.5)$$

We use $S(D, B)$ to denote the solution set of this problem, that is

$$S(D, B) = \{\tilde{x} \in D : \langle j(x - \tilde{x}), B\tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, x \in D\}.$$

In 2006, Aoyama, Iiduka and Takahashi [2] investigated the following iterative algorithm

$$x_1 = x \in D, \quad x_{n+1} = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

where D is a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X and $B : D \rightarrow X$ is an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator, Q_D is a sunny nonexpansive retraction

from X onto D , $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha_n \in [c, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $c > 0$. They showed that if $S(D, B) \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.6) converges weakly to some element of $S(D, B)$.

In 2011, Yao *et al.* [24] obtained a strong theorem in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space. They suggested the following iterative algorithm

$$x_1 \in D, \quad x_{n+1} = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) Q_D[\gamma_n v + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n)], \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where D is a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X and $B : D \rightarrow X$ is an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator with $S(D, B) \neq \emptyset$, Q_D is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto D , $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| < \infty$ and $\alpha_n \in [c, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $c > 0$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$, $v \in X$ is fixed. They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.7) converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}_D v$, where \tilde{Q}_D is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $S(D, B)$.

Assume that B is an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator from D a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X , into X with $S(D, B) \neq \emptyset$ and Q_D is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto D . In this paper, for solving the generalized variational inequality problem involving an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator, we introduce the following iterative algorithm in Banach spaces

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n), \\ \tilde{x}_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) Q_D[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = \eta_n v + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n), \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where v is a fixed element in D , $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $0 < w \leq \frac{\delta}{k^2}$, $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in [d, e] \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$. We will prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges convergence strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $S(D, B)$ in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of Banach space X and let X^* be the dual space of X . We denote the pairing between X and X^* by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For $q > 1$, the generalized duality mapping $J_q : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is defined by

$$J_q = \{j \in X^* : \langle u, j \rangle = \|u\|^q, \|j\| = \|u\|^{q-1}\}, \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Recall that $J = J_2$ is called the normalized duality mapping as well as $J_q(u) = \|u\|^{q-2} J(u)$ for all $u \in X$. Let

$$S = \{u \in X : \|u\| = 1\}.$$

A Banach space X is said to be uniformly convex if for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ and $u, v \in S$ and $\|u - v\| \geq \varepsilon$ imply there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\frac{u+v}{2}\| \leq 1 - \delta$. It is clear that every uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive. A function $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be the modulus of smoothness of X if

$$\rho(t) = \sup\left\{\frac{\|u+tv\| + \|u-tv\|}{2} - 1 : \|u\| = \|v\| = 1\right\}, \quad t \geq 0$$

A Banach space X is said to be uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} = 0$. For example the l_p spaces ($1 < p \leq 2$) are uniformly smooth. Let q be a fixed real number with $1 < q \leq 2$. A Banach space X is said to be q -uniformly smooth if there exists a constant $a > 0$ such that $\rho(t) \leq at^q$ for all $t > 0$; see [3, 22] and the references therein.

Lemma 2.1. [3] *Let $1 < q \leq 2$ be real number. A Banach space X is said to be q -uniformly smooth if and only if there exists a constant $k \geq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2}(\|u+v\|^q + \|u-v\|^q) \leq \|u\|^q + \|kv\|^q, \quad \forall u, v \in X.$$

The best constant k is called the q -uniformly smoothness constant of X .

Lemma 2.2. [22] *Let q be a given real number with $1 < q \leq 2$ and let X be a q -uniformly smooth Banach space. Then*

$$\|u+v\|^q \leq \|u\|^q + q\langle v, J_q(u) \rangle + 2\|kv\|^q, \quad \forall u, v \in X,$$

where k is the q -uniformly smoothness constant of X .

Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space X . An operator $B : D \rightarrow X$ is said to be accretive if

$$\langle Bu - Bv, J(u-v) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

An operator B of D into X is said to be δ -inverse strongly accretive if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle Bu - Bv, J(u-v) \rangle \geq \delta \|Bu - Bv\|^2, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

Let C be a subset of D and Q_D a mapping of D into C . Then Q_D is said to be sunny if

$$Q_D(Q_Du + t(u - Q_Du)) = Q_Du$$

whenever $Q_Du + t(u - Q_Du) \in D$ for $u \in D$ and $t \geq 0$. A mapping Q_D of D into itself is called a retraction if $Q_D^2 = Q_D$. A subset C of D is called a sunny nonexpansive retract of D if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction from D onto C .

Lemma 2.3. [18] *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X and let $Q_D : X \rightarrow D$ be a retraction. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) Q_D is sunny and nonexpansive;
- (b) $\langle u - Q_Du, J(v - Q_Dv) \rangle \leq 0$, for all $u \in X$ and $v \in D$.
- (c) $\|Q_Du - Q_Dv\|^2 \leq \langle u - v, J(Q_Du - Q_Dv) \rangle$, for all $u, v \in X$.

Lemma 2.4. [2] *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X . Let Q_D be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto D and let B be an accretive operator of D into X . Thus, $S(D, B) = F(Q_D(I - \alpha B))$ for all $\alpha > 0$.*

Let B is an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator of D into X . If $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\delta}{k^2}$ then $I - \alpha B : D \rightarrow X$ is a nonexpansive mapping; see [2] and the references therein.

Lemma 2.5. [21] *Let X be Banach space. Then*

$$\|u+v\|^2 \leq \|v\|^2 + 2\langle u, J(u+v) \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in X.$$

Lemma 2.6. [15] *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space. Thus, there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|ax + bz + c\tilde{x}\|^2 \leq a\|x\|^2 + b\|z\|^2 + c\|\tilde{x}\|^2 - ab\psi(\|x - y\|)$$

for all $x, z, \tilde{x} \in B_r(0) = \{u \in X : \|u\| \leq r\}$ and $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ with $a + b + c = 1$.

Lemma 2.7. [14] *Let $\{x_n\}$ be sequences of such that there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \leq x_n$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{t_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$x_{t_i} \leq x_{t_i+1} \text{ and } x_i \leq x_{t_i+1}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact $t_i = \max\{k \leq i : x_k \leq x_{k+1}\}$.

Lemma 2.8. [4] *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space, C a nonempty closed convex subset of X and $\tilde{T} : C \rightarrow C$ a nonexpansive mapping. If $\{w_k\}$ is a sequence of C such that $w_k \rightarrow w_0$ and let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|w_k - \tilde{T}w_k\| = 0$, then w_0 is a fixed point of \tilde{T} .*

Lemma 2.9. [23] *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying*

$$x_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \gamma_n$$

where $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_n \lambda_n| < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let D be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Let $Q_D : X \rightarrow D$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction and let $B : D \rightarrow X$ be an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator with $S(D, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be a sequences generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n), \\ \tilde{x}_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) Q_D[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = \eta_n v + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where v is a fixed element in D , $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $0 < w \leq \frac{\delta}{k^2}$, $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in [d, e] \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $S(D, B)$.

Proof. Let $q \in S(D, B)$. From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$q = Q_D(q - \alpha_n Bq).$$

Put

$$y_n = Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n), \quad w_n = Q_D[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)].$$

Since $I - \alpha_n B$ is nonexpansive, we find from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - q\| &= \|\mathcal{Q}_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) - \mathcal{Q}_D(q - \alpha_n Bq)\| \\ &\leq \|(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) - (q - \alpha_n Bq)\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - q\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From $\alpha_n \in [w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ and Lemma 2.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - q\|^2 &= \|\mathcal{Q}_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n) - \mathcal{Q}_D(q - \alpha_n Bq)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(z_n - q) - \alpha_n(Bz_n - Bq)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z_n - q\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle Bz_n - Bq, J(z_n - q) \rangle + 2k^2 \alpha_n^2 \|Bz_n - Bq\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z_n - q\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \delta \|Bz_n - Bq\|^2 + 2k^2 \alpha_n^2 \|Bz_n - Bq\|^2 \\ &= \|z_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n (\alpha_n k^2 - \delta) \|Bz_n - Bq\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z_n - q\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), we have that

$$\|y_n - q\| \leq \|x_n - q\|.$$

From $q = \mathcal{Q}_D(q)$, we get

$$q = \mathcal{Q}_D[\gamma_n q + (1 - \gamma_n) \mathcal{Q}_D(q - \alpha_n Bq)].$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - q\| &= \|\mathcal{Q}_D[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) \mathcal{Q}_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)] - \mathcal{Q}_D[\gamma_n q + (1 - \gamma_n) \mathcal{Q}_D(q - \alpha_n Bq)]\| \\ &\leq \|[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) \mathcal{Q}_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)] - [\gamma_n q + (1 - \gamma_n) \mathcal{Q}_D(q - \alpha_n Bq)]\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|z_n - q\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n) - (q - \alpha_n Bq)\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|x_n - q\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - q\| \\ &= \|x_n - q\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{x}_n - q\| &= \|\lambda_n(x_n - q) + (1 - \lambda_n)(w_n - q)\| \\ &\leq \lambda_n \|x_n - q\| + (1 - \lambda_n) \|w_n - q\| \\ &\leq \lambda_n \|x_n - q\| + (1 - \lambda_n) \|x_n - q\| \\ &= \|x_n - q\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We have from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - q\| &= \|\eta_n(v - q) + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n - q)\| \\ &\leq \eta_n \|v - q\| + (1 - \eta_n) \|a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n - q\| \\ &\leq \eta_n \|v - q\| + (1 - \eta_n) (a_n \|x_n - q\| + b_n \|z_n - q\| + c_n \|\tilde{x}_n - q\|) \\ &\leq \eta_n \|v - q\| + (1 - \eta_n) (a_n \|x_n - q\| + b_n \|x_n - q\| + c_n \|x_n - q\|) \\ &\leq \eta_n \|v - q\| + (1 - \eta_n) \|x_n - q\| \\ &\leq \max\{\|v - q\|, \|x_0 - q\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, and so are $\{z_n\}, \{\tilde{x}_n\}, \{Bx_n\}, \{Bz_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{w_n\}$. Let \tilde{Q} be a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $S(D, B)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - \tilde{Q}v\| &= \|Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) - Q_D(\tilde{Q}v)\| \\ &\leq \|(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - \tilde{Q}v\| &= \|Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n) - Q_D(\tilde{Q}v)\| \\ &\leq \|(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n) - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &\leq \|z_n - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.1) and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - \tilde{Q}v\| &= \|Q_D(\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n)Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)) - Q_D(\tilde{Q}v)\| \\ &\leq \|\gamma_n(z_n - \tilde{Q}v) + (1 - \gamma_n)(Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n) - \tilde{Q}v)\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|z_n - \tilde{Q}v\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n) - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{Q}v\| &= \|\lambda_n(x_n - \tilde{Q}v) + (1 - \lambda_n)(w_n - \tilde{Q}v)\| \\ &\leq \lambda_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\| + (1 - \lambda_n) \|w_n - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &\leq \lambda_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\| + (1 - \lambda_n) \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &= \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

From Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6, (3.1), (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 &= \|\eta_n(v - \tilde{Q}v) + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n - \tilde{Q}v)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \eta_n) \|a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + 2\eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \eta_n) a_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + (1 - \eta_n) b_n \|z_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + (1 - \eta_n) c_n \|\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \eta_n) a_n b_n \psi(\|x_n - z_n\|) + 2\eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \eta_n) [a_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + b_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + c_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \eta_n) a_n b_n \psi(\|x_n - z_n\|) + 2\eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle] \\ &\leq \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 - (1 - \eta_n) a_n b_n \psi(\|x_n - z_n\|) + 2\eta_n \|v - \tilde{Q}v\| \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 \leq \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 - (1 - \eta_n) a_n c_n \psi(\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|) + 2\eta_n \|v - \tilde{Q}v\| \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|. \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we split the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Assume that there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|\}$ is decreasing for all $n \geq m_0$. Therefore, we obtain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\| = d$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, we conclude from (3.8), (3.9) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n c_n \psi(\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n b_n \psi(\|x_n - z_n\|) = 0.$$

Using the property of ψ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Since

$$\|z_n - \tilde{x}_n\| \leq \|z_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|,$$

we have $\|z_n - \tilde{x}_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - y_n\| &= \|Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) - Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)\| \\ &\leq \|(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) - (z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - z_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.10), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - y_n\| = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - w_n\| &= \|Q_D(Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)) - Q_D(\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n)Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n))\| \\ &\leq \|(Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)) - (\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n)Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n))\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|z_n - Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)\| \\ &\leq \|z_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\|z_n - w_n\| \leq \|z_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - w_n\|,$$

we have $\|z_n - w_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\|x_n - y_n\| \leq \|z_n - y_n\| + \|x_n - z_n\|,$$

we have $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.1) and (3.10), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_n(v - x_n) + (1 - \eta_n)(b_n(z_n - x_n) + c_n(\tilde{x}_n - x_n))\| = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Now, we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_n - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Observe that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and X is uniformly convex. We can select a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that converges weakly to u and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_n - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle = \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n_k} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.13)$$

We first show $u \in S(D, B)$. Since α_n is in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $w > 0$, we have that $\{\alpha_{n_k}\}$ is bounded. Thus, there exists a subsequence $\{\alpha_{n_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{\alpha_{n_k}\}$ converges to $\alpha_0 \in [w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha_{n_k} \rightarrow \alpha_0$. Since Q_D is nonexpansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_D(x_{n_k} - \alpha_0 Bx_{n_k}) - x_{n_k}\| &\leq \|Q_D(x_{n_k} - \alpha_0 Bx_{n_k}) - z_{n_k}\| + \|z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\| \\ &\leq \|Q_D(x_{n_k} - \alpha_0 Bx_{n_k}) - Q_D(x_{n_k} - \alpha_{n_k} Bx_{n_k})\| + \|z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\| \\ &\leq \|(x_{n_k} - \alpha_0 Bx_{n_k}) - (x_{n_k} - \alpha_{n_k} Bx_{n_k})\| + \|z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\| \\ &\leq \|Bx_{n_k}\| \|\alpha_0 - \alpha_{n_k}\| + \|z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|z_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_D(x_{n_k} - \alpha_0 Bx_{n_k}) - x_{n_k}\| = 0.$$

Since $Q_D(I - \alpha_0 B)$ is nonexpansive, we find from Lemma 2.8 that $u \in F(Q_D(I - \alpha_0 B))$. From Lemma 2.4, we also have $u \in S(D, B)$. Using Lemma 2.3 and (3.13) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_n - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n_k} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(u - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Set

$$t_n = \gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n B z_n)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Since $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this along with (3.14) shows that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.1), (3.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 &= \langle \eta_n(v - \tilde{Q}v) + (1 - \eta_n)((a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}) - \tilde{Q}v), J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \\ &= \eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle + (1 - \eta_n) \langle (a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}) - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \\ &\leq \eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle + (1 - \eta_n) (a_n \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\| \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\| \\ &\quad + b_n \|z_n - \tilde{Q}v\| \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\| + c_n \|\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{Q}v\| \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|) \\ &\leq \eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle + (1 - \eta_n) \left(\frac{a_n}{2} (\|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{b_n}{2} (\|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2) + \frac{c_n}{2} (\|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2) \right) \\ &\leq (1 - \eta_n) \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + 2\eta_n \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$, we obtain from Lemma 2.9, (3.15) and (3.16) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \tilde{Q}v\| = 0.$$

Case 2. Assume that there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$\|x_{n_k} - \tilde{Q}v\| \leq \|x_{n_k+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 2.7, there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{t_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\|x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v\| \leq \|x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v\| \text{ and } \|x_i - \tilde{Q}v\| \leq \|x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v\| \quad (3.17)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, we can obtain from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{t_i} - z_{t_i}\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{t_i} - y_{t_i}\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{t_i} - \tilde{x}_{t_i}\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{t_i} - z_{t_i}\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{t_i+1} - x_{t_i}\| = 0.$$

From Case 1, we also have

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \leq 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.16), we have

$$\|x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 \leq (1 - \eta_{t_i}) \|x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + 2\eta_{t_i} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle \quad (3.19)$$

This implies that

$$\eta_{t_i} \|x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 \leq \|x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 - \|x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 + 2\eta_{t_i} \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle.$$

Since $\eta_{t_i} > 0$, we get from (3.17) that

$$\|x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v\|^2 \leq 2 \langle v - \tilde{Q}v, J(x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v) \rangle.$$

Thus, (3.18) implies that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{t_i} - \tilde{Q}v\| = 0$. From (3.19), we have that $\|x_{t_i+1} - \tilde{Q}v\| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Using (3.17), we obtain that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i - \tilde{Q}v\| = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

If $v = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let D be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Let $Q_D : X \rightarrow D$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction and let $B : D \rightarrow X$ be an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator with $S(D, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be a sequences generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n) \\ \tilde{x}_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) Q_D[\gamma_n z_n (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = \eta_n v + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where v is a fixed element in D , $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and α_n is a sequence in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$, and $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in [d, e] \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to the minimum norm element in $S(D, B)$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(0)$, which is the minimum norm element in $S(D, B)$. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

Using Theorem 3.1, we prove some strong convergence theorems in Banach spaces.

Theorem 4.1. *Let D be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Let $B : X \rightarrow X$ be an δ -inverse strongly accretive operator with $B^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be a sequences generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = (x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n), \\ \tilde{x}_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)(\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n)(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)), \\ x_{n+1} = \eta_n v + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n), \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where v is a fixed element in D , $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and α_n is a sequence in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $0 < w \leq \frac{\delta}{k^2}$, $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in [d, e] \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $B^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. Note that $B^{-1}(0) = S(X, B)$ and $Q_D = I$, where I is the identity mapping. Using Theorem 3.1, we get the desired result immediately. \square

Let $0 \leq k < 1$. A mapping $T : D \rightarrow D$ is said to be k -strictly pseudocontractive if there exists $j(u - v) \in J(u - v)$ such that

$$\langle Tu - Tv, j(u - v) \rangle \leq \|u - v\|^2 - \frac{1 - k}{2} \|(I - T)u - (I - T)v\|^2$$

for all $u, v \in D$. The above inequality can be rewritten as follows:

$$\langle (I - T)u - (I - T)v, j(u - v) \rangle \geq \frac{1 - k}{2} \|(I - T)u - (I - T)v\|^2. \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let D be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Let $Q_D : X \rightarrow D$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction and let $T : D \rightarrow D$ be a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be a sequences generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n(x_n - Tx_n)), \\ \tilde{x}_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) Q_D[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n(z_n - Tz_n))], \\ x_{n+1} = \eta_n v + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n), \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where v is a fixed element in D , $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and α_n is a sequence in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2}]$ for some $0 < w \leq \frac{\delta}{k^2}$, $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in [d, e] \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $F(T)$.

Proof. Let $B = I - T$. From (4.1), we obtain that B is $\frac{1-k}{2}$ -inverse strongly accretive. It is easy to show that $F(T) = S(D, B) = S(D, I - T)$ (see [2]). By using Theorem 3.1, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $F(T)$. \square

Let D be a subset of a smooth Banach space X . Let $\delta > 0$. An operator $B : D \rightarrow X$ is said to be δ -strongly accretive if

$$\langle Bu - Bv, J(u - v) \rangle \geq \delta \|u - v\|^2, \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let D be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Let $Q_D : X \rightarrow D$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction and let $B : D \rightarrow X$ be an δ -strongly accretive and L -Lipschitz continuous operator with $S(D, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be a sequences generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in D, \\ z_n = Q_D(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n), \\ \tilde{x}_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) Q_D[\gamma_n z_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Q_D(z_n - \alpha_n Bz_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = \eta_n v + (1 - \eta_n)(a_n x_n + b_n z_n + c_n \tilde{x}_n), \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where v is a fixed element in D , $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and α_n is a sequence in $[w, \frac{\delta}{k^2 L^2}]$ for some $0 < w \leq \frac{\delta}{k^2 L^2}$, $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\} \in [d, e] \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $S(D, B)$.

Proof. Since $B : D \rightarrow X$ is δ -strongly accretive and L -Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$\langle Bu - Bv, J(u - v) \rangle \geq \delta \|u - v\|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{L^2} \|Bu - Bv\|^2$$

for $u, v \in D$. Hence, B is $\frac{\delta}{L^2}$ -inverse strongly accretive. By using Theorem 3.1, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{Q}(v)$, where \tilde{Q} is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto $S(D, B)$. \square

Example 4.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with Euclidean norm and usual Euclidean inner product. Let $D := [-2, 1]$ and $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow D$ be defined by

$$Q_D x := \begin{cases} -2, & -\infty < x < -2, \\ x, & -2 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq x < \infty, \end{cases}$$

and $B : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$Bx := \begin{cases} 0, & x \in [-2, 0], \\ \sin x, & x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Q_D is a sunny nonexpansive retraction, $S(D, B) = [-2, 0]$, and B is a accretive. Next, we prove that B is a δ -inverse strongly accretive for $\delta \leq 1$. If $x, y \in [-2, 0]$, then

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle = 0 \geq \|Bx - By\|^2 = 0.$$

If $x, y \in (0, 1]$, then there exist $t \in (0, 1]$ such that (let $x \leq y$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sin y - \sin x &= \cos t(y - x) \Leftrightarrow \sin y - \sin x \leq y - x \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \geq \sin x - \sin y \geq x - y \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\sin x - \sin y)(\sin x - \sin y) \leq (x - y)(\sin x - \sin y) \\ &\Leftrightarrow |\sin x - \sin y|^2 \leq \langle x - y, \sin x - \sin y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in [-2, 0]$ and $y \in (0, 1]$, then

$$\sin y < y \Rightarrow \sin y < y - x \Leftrightarrow \sin^2 y < (y - x) \sin y,$$

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle = \langle -\sin y, x - y \rangle = \langle \sin y, y - x \rangle \geq |Bx - By|^2 = |0 - \sin y|^2 = \sin^2 y.$$

Hence, $\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \geq \|Bx - By\|^2$ for all $x \in [-2, 1]$, B is a δ -inverse strongly accretive for $\delta \leq 1$.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ with Euclidean norm and usual Euclidean inner product. Let $D := [-1, 2] \times [-1, 2]$ and $Q : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow D$ be defined by

$$Q_D(x,y) := \begin{cases} (-1, -1), & -\infty < x, y < -1, \\ (x, y), & -1 \leq x, y < 2, \\ (2, 2), & 2 \leq x, y < \infty, \\ (-1, y), & -\infty < x < -1, -1 \leq y < 2, \\ (-1, 2), & -\infty < x < -1, 2 \leq y < \infty, \\ (x, 2), & -1 \leq x < 2, 2 \leq y < \infty, \\ (x, -1), & -1 \leq x < 2, -\infty < y < -1, \\ (2, -1), & 2 \leq x < \infty, -\infty < y < -1, \\ (2, y), & 2 \leq x < \infty, -1 \leq y < 2, \end{cases}$$

and $B : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by

$$Bx := \begin{cases} (0, 0), & x, y \in [-1, 1], \\ (\frac{x^2}{4}, \frac{y^2}{4}), & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Q_D is a sunny nonexpansive retraction and $S(D, B) = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$, and B is a δ -inverse strongly accretive for $\delta \leq 1$. If $x, y \in [-1, 1]$, then

$$\langle B(x, y) - B(x', y'), (x, y) - (x', y') \rangle = 0 \geq \|B(x, y) - B(x', y')\|^2 = 0.$$

Otherwise, since $x + x' < 4$ for all $x, x' \in [-1, 2]$, we have

$$|\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{x'^2}{4}| = \frac{1}{4}|(x - x')(x + x')| < |x - x'|.$$

Acknowledgment

The authors express their gratitude to the Professor J. J. Nieto and referees for their helpful suggestions which improved final version of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] M. A. Alghamdi, N. Shahzad, H. Zegeye, Construction of a common solution of a finite family of variational inequality problems for monotone mappings, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 1645-1657.
 [2] K. Aoyama, H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2006 (2006), Article ID 35390.
 [3] K. Ball, E. A. Carlen, E. H. Lieb, Sharp uniform convexity and smoothness inequalities for trace norms, *Inventiones Math.* 115 (1994), 463-482.
 [4] F.E. Browder, Semicontractive and semiaccretive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1968), 660-665.
 [5] L.C. Ceng, A. Petrusel, J.C. Yao, Y. Yao, Hybrid viscosity extragradient method for systems of variational inequalities, fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, zero points of accretive operators in Banach spaces, *Fixed Point Theory* 19 (2018), 487-502.
 [6] L.C. Ceng, Approximation of common solutions of a split inclusion problem and a fixed-point problem, *J. Appl. Numer. Optim.* 1 (2019), 1-12.
 [7] S.S. Chang, C.F. Wen, J.C. Yao, Zero point problem of accretive operators in Banach spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 42 (2019), 105-118.

- [8] S.Y. Cho, X. Qin, L. Wang, Strong convergence of a splitting algorithm for treating monotone operators, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 94.
- [9] B.A.B. Dehaish, et al., Weak and strong convergence of algorithms for the sum of two accretive operators with applications. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 16 (2015), 1321-1336.
- [10] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, Approximation of solutions of variational inequalities for monotone mappings, *Panam. Math. J.* 14 (2004), 49-61.
- [11] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 61 (2005), 341-350.
- [12] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Weak convergence of a projection algorithm for variational inequalities in a Banach space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 339 (2008), 668-679.
- [13] Y. Liu, A modified hybrid method for solving variational inequality problems in Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* 2017 (2017), Article ID 31.
- [14] P. E. Mainge, Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and nonstrictly convex minimization, *Set-Valued Anal.* 16 (2008), 899-912.
- [15] X. Qin, S.Y. Cho, L. Wang, Strong convergence of an iterative algorithm involving nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type, *Optimization* 67 (2018), 1377-1388.
- [16] X. Qin, J.C. Yao, Weak convergence of a Mann-like algorithm for nonexpansive and accretive operators, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2016 (2016), Article ID 232.
- [17] X. Qin, J.C. Yao, Projection splitting algorithms for nonself operators, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 18 (2017), 925-935.
- [18] S. Reich, Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 44 (1973), 57-70.
- [19] S. Reich, S. Sabach, Two strong convergence theorems for Bregman strongly nonexpansive operators in reflexive Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73 (2010), 122-135.
- [20] W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 118 (2003), 417-428.
- [21] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Function Analysis, Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications*, Yokohama publishers, Yokohama, (2000).
- [22] H. K. Xu, Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 16 (1991), 1127-1138.
- [23] Z. Xue, H. Zhou, Y.J. Cho, Iterative solutions of nonlinear equations for m-accretive operators in Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 1 (2000), 313-320.
- [24] Y. Yao, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, Y. C. Liou, On an iterative algorithm for variational inequalities in Banach spaces, *Math. Commun.* 16 (2011), 95-104.