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#### Abstract

Let $I=\{1, \ldots, N\}$ be a finite set of indices and $K=I^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set of all sequences of indices equipped with the product measure and the product topology. Melo, da Cruz Neto, and de Brito [Strong convergence of alternating projections, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 194 (2022), 306-324] defined a family of sequences $\mathscr{N}_{0} \subseteq K$ so that whenever one iterates distance minimizing projections on $N$ closed and convex subsets of an Hadamard space, the sequence of projections converges, provided it has at least one accumulation point. They proved that $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ has full measure, and in the sense of measure almost all iterates of projections converge. We observe that $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ is meager. The question, which almost all iterates converge in the topological sense, remains open.
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## 1. Introduction

Given two convex subsets of a Hilbert space with nonempty intersection, we are interested in finding some point within the intersection. We refer to this problem as the convex feasibility problem. Von Neumann proposed the method of alternating projections to solve the convex feasibility problem [1]. More broadly speaking, we may consider an Hadamard space ( $X, \rho$ ), an index set $I:=\{1, \ldots, N\}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and a finite number of closed convex sets $\left(C_{n}\right)_{n \in I}$ within $X$. By $P_{n}$ we denote the distance minimizing projection to the set $C_{n}, n \in I$. This projection is well-defined, since $C_{n}$ is convex and closed. Choose some $\xi_{0} \in X$ and a sequence $x=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in$ $K:=I^{\mathbb{N}}$. We iteratively define a projection sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$
\xi_{n}=P_{x_{n}}\left(\xi_{n-1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

This construction is called the method of alternating projections. The intention behind this method is that the sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point in the intersection $C_{1} \cap \cdots \cap C_{N}$. Indeed, von Neumann proved in [1] that this is the case when $X$ is a Hilbert space, $N=2$, and $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are linear subspaces. A simple geometric proof of von Neumann's theorem is provided by Kopecká and Reich in [2]. Halperin showed in [3] that von Neumann's result holds for any finite number of subspaces when $x$ is periodic. Sakai in [4] extended this to quasi-periodic sequences.
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Definition 1.1. A sequence $x=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K$ is said to be quasi-periodic if and only if

$$
\exists m \in \mathbb{N}: \forall k \in \mathbb{N}:\left\{x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{k+m-1}\right\}=I .
$$

The smallest such $m$ is called the quasi-period of $x$.
This condition imposes a uniform bound on the distance of occurrences of indices in $x$, similar to the periodicity.

The authors of $[5,6]$ proved that not every $x \in K$ induces a converging sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. They provided a counterexample of three closed linear subspaces in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space such that, for any $0 \neq \xi_{0} \in X$, there is a sequence $x \in K$ such that the method of alternating projections does not strongly converge. This motivates the following question:

How large is the set of sequences $x \in K$ for which $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strongly convergent?
Melo, da Cruz Neto, and de Brito studied this question and showed in Proposition 4.3 of [7] that the up until now considered sets of periodic and quasi-periodic sequences are null sets with respect to the Bernoulli measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $K$. This measure is the product measure of $\mathbb{P}_{I}$ with $\mathbb{P}_{I}(\{1\})=\cdots=\mathbb{P}_{I}(\{N\})=\frac{1}{N}$ over the index set $\mathbb{N}$. The authors of [7] introduced a more general notion than quasi-periodic sequences: the notion of quasi-normal sequences.

Definition 1.2 (Definition 4.2 in [7]). We call a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K$ quasi-normal if there exists an $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence of disjoint blocks $\left(\mathscr{R}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of consecutive elements of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $L$ terms, where each block $\mathscr{R}_{k}$ contains every element of $I$ so that there exists a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty} f\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$ such that

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n_{k} \cdot f\left(n_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

where $x_{n_{k}}$ is the first element of the block $\mathscr{R}_{k}$ and $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence. We denote the set of quasi-normal sequences by $\mathscr{N}$.

Proposition 4.2 of [7] states that quasi-normal sequences form a subset of $K$ of full measure and Theorem 4.1 of [7] guarantees strong convergence if the sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has at least one accumulation point.

Melo, da Cruz Neto, and de Brito [7] showed that $\mathscr{N}$ is of full measure by defining a stronger condition resulting in a subset $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ of the quasi-normal sequences and proved that this set has full measure. More precisely, the set $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ is defined as the set of all sequences satisfying the conditions of the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1 (Proposition 4.1 in [7]). Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K$. Suppose that there exists an $L \in \mathbb{N}$, a sequence of disjoint blocks $\left(\mathscr{R}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of consecutive elements of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with L terms, where each block $\mathscr{R}_{k}$ contains every element of $I$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathscr{S}_{k}$ be the block formed by the elements between $\mathscr{R}_{k-1}$ and $\mathscr{R}_{k}$, which may eventually be empty. Thus, $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be seen as follows:

$$
\mathscr{S}_{1} \mathscr{R}_{1} \mathscr{S}_{2} \mathscr{R}_{2} \ldots \mathscr{R}_{k-1} \mathscr{S}_{k} \mathscr{R}_{k} \ldots
$$

Let $\left|\mathscr{S}_{k}\right|$ be the number of elements of this block, and let c be a constant. If, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathscr{S}_{i}\right| \leq c k
$$

then sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is quasi-normal.
Instead of using a measure theoretic notion of large and small subsets, we deal with topological or metric notions. We are interested in whether the set of sequences $x \in K$ leading to a strongly convergent projection sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a large subset in a topological and metric sense and, in particular, if the quasi-normal sequences already form such a large subset. More specifically, we are interested in the topological notions of meager and dense $G_{\delta}$ subsets and the stronger notions of $\sigma$-porous and co- $\sigma$-porous subsets as a metric notion of small and large subsets, respectively; see, e.g., [8].

Definition 1.3 ( $\sigma$-)porous subset). A subset $A$ of a metric space $(X, d)$ is called porous at $x \in A$ if there are $r_{0}>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that for every $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ there is a point $y \in X \backslash A$ with $\rho(x, y)<r$ and $B(y, \alpha r) \cap A=\emptyset$ or, put differently,
$A$ porous at $x: \Leftrightarrow \exists r_{0}>0: \exists \alpha>0: \forall r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right): \exists y \in X \backslash A: \rho(x, y)<r \wedge B(y, \alpha r) \cap A=\emptyset$.
The set $A$ is called porous if it is porous at all its points. A subset of $X$ is called $\sigma$-porous if it is a countable union of porous sets. We call a set co-porous or co- $\sigma$-porous if its complement is porous or $\sigma$-porous respectively.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we equip $I=\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with the discrete topology and $K:=I^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology. It is well known that the topology on $K$ is induced by the complete metric

$$
d(x, y):=\max \left\{2^{-j} d_{0}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right): j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

where $d_{0}$ denotes the discrete metric on $I$. Note that, for $x \in K$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
B\left(x, 2^{-j}\right)=\left\{y \in K: y_{1}=x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{j}=x_{j}\right\} .
$$

## 2. Results

In the following, we show that the subset $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ of the quasi-normal sequences $\mathscr{N}$ is meager, and hence, a small subset in a topological sense. This stands in contrast to the result of [7] where $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ is shown to be of full measure. We begin by extracting the condition of Proposition 1.1 by defining properties in the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c>0$. We then define

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{L, c}(x) & : \longleftrightarrow \exists \text { representation } \mathscr{S}_{1} \mathscr{R}_{1} \mathscr{S}_{2} \mathscr{R}_{2} \cdots=x \text { such that } \\
& \wedge\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}:\left|\mathscr{R}_{k}\right|=L \\
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \mathscr{R}_{k} \text { contains all elements of } I \\
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathscr{S}_{i}(x)\right| \leq c k
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
P(x): \longleftrightarrow \exists L \in \mathbb{N}: \exists c>0: P_{L, c}(x)
$$

We may now write $\mathscr{N}_{0}=\{x \in K: P(x)\}$. Let us for $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c>0$ define the set

$$
\mathscr{N}_{L, c}:=\left\{x \in K: P_{L, c}(x)\right\} .
$$

Apparently,

$$
\mathscr{N}_{0}=\bigcup_{L \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}} \mathscr{N}_{L, c} .
$$

Theorem 2.1. For every $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$, the set $\mathscr{N}_{L, c}$ is nowhere dense.
The proof of this theorem is provided in Section 3.1.
Corollary 2.1. The set $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ is meager.
Unfortunately, the strategy used by Melo, da Cruz Neto, and de Brito [7] for proving that $\mathscr{N}$ is a large subset by showing that $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ is large does not work in the context of the product topology. As of now, the question whether the quasi-normal sequences $\mathscr{N}$ themselves are a large subset or not in a topological or metric sense remains an open question.

## 3. Proofs of Statements

As a warm up for the proof of $\mathscr{N}_{0}$ being meager, we first show that the set of quasi-periodic sequences $Q$ is $\sigma$-porous. The fact that the periodic sequences are $\sigma$-porous too, is clear since they are countable. Note that this is in line with the results by Melo, da Cruz Neto, and de Brito [7], where these sets were shown to be null sets; see [7, Proposition 4.3].

Proposition 3.1. The set $Q_{m}$ of quasi-periodic sequences with quasi-period $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is porous.
The proof of this proposition follows after some lemmas.
Corollary 3.1. The set $Q$ of quasi-periodic sequences in $K$ is $\sigma$-porous.
Proof. Since, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $Q_{m}$ of quasi-periodic sequences with quasi-period $m$ is porous and since $Q=\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{m}$, we have that $Q$ is $\sigma$-porous.

We show that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $Q_{m}$ is porous. Let us fix some arbitrary point $x \in Q_{m}$. Let

$$
r_{0}:=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha:=2^{-m-1}
$$

and $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$. Choose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-j} \leq r<2^{-j+1}$ and set

$$
y:=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{j}, 1,1, \ldots\right)
$$

Lemma 3.1. $y \notin Q_{m}$ and $d(x, y)<r$.
Proof. By construction, in $y$, there are $m$ many consecutive elements in which not all elements of $I$ appear. Therefore, we have $y \notin Q_{m}$. Since $x_{1}=y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j}=y_{j}$, we have that $d(x, y)<$ $2^{-j} \leq r$.

Lemma 3.2. $B(y, \alpha r) \cap Q_{m}=\emptyset$.

Proof. Let $z \in B(y, \alpha r)=B\left(y, 2^{-m-1} r\right)$. Since $2^{-m-1} r<2^{-m-j}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{1}=y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge z_{j}=y_{j} \quad \text { and } \\
z_{j+1}=1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_{j+m}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Since apparently in $z$, there are $m$ consecutive elements in which not all elements of $I$ appear we have that $z \notin Q_{m}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. For every $x \in Q_{m}$, we have chosen an $r_{0}>0$ and an $\alpha>0$. For every $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, we construct a $y \in K$, which by Lemma 3.1 is not contained in $Q_{m}$ and fulfills $d(x, y)<r$. By Lemma 3.2, we have that $B(y, \alpha r) \cap Q_{m}=\emptyset$. Hence, we prove that $Q_{m}$ is porous.

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.3. Let $L \in \mathbb{N}, c>0, x \in \mathscr{N}_{L, c}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, let

$$
y:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1,1, \ldots\right)
$$

and

$$
m:=\lceil c\rceil\left(L\left\lfloor\frac{n}{L}\right\rfloor+1\right)+2 L+2
$$

Then

$$
B\left(y, 2^{-n-m}\right) \cap \mathscr{N}_{L, c}=\emptyset .
$$

Proof. Let us fix some arbitrary $z \in B\left(y, 2^{-n-m}\right)$ and assume that $z \in \mathscr{N}_{L, c}$. Note that the ball may be written as

$$
B\left(z, 2^{-n-m}\right)=\left\{x_{1}\right\} \times \cdots \times\left\{x_{n}\right\} \times \underbrace{\{1\} \times \cdots \times\{1\}}_{m} \times \prod_{k=n+m+1}^{\infty} K .
$$

Since $z \in \mathscr{N}_{L, c}$, we can find a partition $z=\mathscr{S}_{1} \mathscr{R}_{1} \mathscr{S}_{2} \mathscr{R}_{2} \ldots$ satisfying all conditions in the definition of $\mathscr{N}_{L, c}$. Let us fix this partition. Now, let $s_{k}$ denote the index in $z$ of the leftmost entry of $\mathscr{S}_{k}$. By $i$, we denote the smallest index $j$ such that $s_{j} \geq n+1$, i.e.,

$$
i:=\min \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: s_{j} \geq n+1\right\}
$$

This retrieves the beginning of the first block $\mathscr{S}_{i}$ that starts in or after the section of $z$ with the consecutive ones. Since $\mathscr{R}_{i-1}$ has to contain all elements of $I$ and has a length of $L$, it can at most extend $L-(N-1)$ places into the section of consecutive ones. Since $m>L-(N-1)$, we conclude that in fact $i$ is the index of the leftmost index of the first $\mathscr{S}_{k}$ to start within the section of consecutive ones, and not after.

Next, we find an upper bound on $i$. All $\mathscr{R}_{k}$ have the same length $L$, but the length of the $\mathscr{S}_{k}$ is arbitrary. Hence, $i$ will be the largest if $\mathscr{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{S}_{i-1}=()$. For this reason, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \leq L\left\lfloor\frac{n}{L}\right\rfloor+1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now establish a lower bound on $\left|\mathscr{S}_{i}\right|$. The block $\mathscr{S}_{i}$ ends one place before $\mathscr{R}_{i}$ begins. Since $\mathscr{R}_{i}$ is of length $L$ and contains all elements of $I$, it can extend at most $L-(N-1)$ places into the section of consecutive ones from the right. This together with the identical condition at the beginning of the section of $m$ consecutive ones gives us that

$$
\left|\mathscr{S}_{i}\right| \geq m-2(L-(N-1))
$$

By the definition of $m$ and by (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathscr{S}_{i}\right| & \geq m-2(L-(N-1)) \\
& =\lceil c\rceil\left(L\left\lfloor\frac{n}{L}\right\rfloor+1\right)+2 L+2-2(L-(N-1)) \\
& =\lceil c\rceil\left(L\left\lfloor\frac{n}{L}\right\rfloor+1\right)+2 N \\
& \geq\lceil c\rceil i+2 N \\
& >c i
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left|\mathscr{S}_{k}\right| \geq\left|\mathscr{S}_{i}\right|>c i
$$

Since the partition $\mathscr{S}_{1} \mathscr{R}_{1} \mathscr{S}_{2} \mathscr{R}_{2} \ldots$ is arbitrary, we have $z \notin \mathscr{N}_{L, c}$. Since $z \in B\left(y, 2^{-n-m}\right)$ is arbitrary, we prove the assertion.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose some $x \in \mathscr{N}_{L, c}$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-n}<\varepsilon$. Define

$$
m:=\lceil c\rceil\left(L\left\lfloor\frac{n}{L}\right\rfloor+1\right)+2 L+2
$$

and

$$
y:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1,1, \ldots\right)
$$

Note that $y \in B\left(x, 2^{-n}\right) \subseteq B(x, \varepsilon)$. Furthermore, we easily see that

$$
B\left(y, 2^{-n-m}\right) \subseteq B\left(x, 2^{-n}\right),
$$

since all points in the former ball agree with $x$ in the first $n$ entries. By Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$
B\left(y, 2^{-m-n}\right) \cap \mathscr{N}_{L, c}=\emptyset .
$$

Hence, $\mathscr{N}_{L, c}$ is nowhere dense.
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