

CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS OF A MANN-LIKE ITERATIVE ALGORITHM IN REFLEXIVE BANACH SPACES

LU-CHUAN CENG

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China

Abstract. We introduce and analyze viscosity Mann-like iterative algorithms for solving a general system of variational inequalities involving an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings and an m -accretive mapping. It is proved that the sequence generated in the Mann-like iterative algorithm is norm convergent in a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and the normal structure.

Keywords. Accretive mapping; Fixed point; Iterative method; Nonexpansive mapping; Viscosity algorithm.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H09, 47J20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real Banach space whose dual space is denoted by X^* . Recall that the normalized duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is defined by

$$J(x) = \{\phi \in X^* : \langle x, \phi \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|\phi\|^2\}, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is an immediate consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem that $J(x)$ is a nonempty set for each $x \in X$. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X . A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

We denote by $\text{Fix}(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . A mapping $f : C \rightarrow C$ is called a contraction on C if there exists a constant $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Throughout this paper, we use the notation Ξ_C to denote the collection of all contractions on C , i.e., $\Xi_C = \{f : C \rightarrow C \text{ is a contraction}\}$. Note that each f in Ξ_C has a unique fixed point in C .

Let $U = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere of X . Then the norm of X is said to be Gateaux differentiable if the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{1.1}$$

exists for each $x, y \in U$. In this case, X is said to be smooth. The norm of X is said to be uniformly Gateaux differentiable, if for each $y \in U$, limit (1.1) is attained uniformly for $x \in U$. The norm of X is said to be Frechet differentiable, if for each $x \in U$, limit (1.1) is attained uniformly for $y \in U$. The

E-mail address: zenglc@hotmail.com.

Received January 6, 2019; Accepted February 28, 2019.

norm of X is said to be uniformly Frechet differentiable, if limit (1.1) is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U$. It is well known that (uniform) Frechet differentiability of the norm of X implies (uniform) Gateaux differentiability of the norm of X .

A Banach space X is said to be strictly convex, if, for $x, y \in U$ with $x \neq y$, one has $\|(1-\lambda)x + \lambda y\| < 1$, $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$. X is said to be uniformly convex if, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $x, y \in U$,

$$\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta.$$

It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex. Also, it is known that if a Banach space X is reflexive, then X is strictly convex if and only if X^* is smooth as well as X is smooth if and only if X^* is strictly convex. Moreover, if X is smooth, then the normalized duality mapping J is single-valued; if the norm of X is uniformly Gateaux differentiable, then J is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on every bounded subset of X ; and if the norm of X is uniformly Frechet differentiable, then J is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on every bounded subset of X .

Let X be a smooth Banach space. Let $B_1, B_2 : C \rightarrow X$ be two nonlinear mappings and v_1, v_2 be two positive constants. The general system of variational inequalities (GSVI) is to find $(x^*, y^*) \in C \times C$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \langle v_1 B_1 y^* + x^* - y^*, J(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, & \forall x \in C, \\ \langle v_2 B_2 x^* + y^* - x^*, J(x - y^*) \rangle \geq 0, & \forall x \in C. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The equivalence between the GSVI (1.2) and the fixed point problem of some nonexpansive mapping defined on a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space was established by Cai and Bu [4]. They introduced and analyzed a modified extragradient method for solving the GSVI (1.2) based on the equivalence, and a strong convergence theorem in a real uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space. In addition, Ceng, Gupta and Ansari [5] also proposed and analyzed Mann-like implicit and explicit algorithms for solving GSVI (1.2).

If X is a real Hilbert space H , then the GSVI (1.2) was considered and studied by Ceng, Wang and Yao [6]. If $A = B$, it was considered by Verma [22] (see also [23]). Further, if $x^* = y^*$, then problem (1.2) is reduced to the following classical variational inequality (VI) of finding $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\langle Ax^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1.3)$$

This problem is a fundamental problem in the variational analysis; in particular, in the optimization theory and mechanics. A large number of algorithms for solving this problem are essentially projection algorithms that employ projections onto the feasible set C of the VI to iteratively reach a solution. In particular, Korpelevich [15] proposed an algorithm for solving the VI in Euclidean space, known as the extragradient method (see also [10]). In case of Banach space setting, that is, if $A = B$ and $x^* = y^*$, then

$$\langle Ax^*, J(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1.4)$$

In [1], Aoyama, Iiduka and Takahashi proposed an iterative scheme to find approximate solutions of (1.4) and proved the weak convergence of the sequences generated by their proposed scheme. In [25], Yamada assumed that the feasible set is the set of common fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings and introduced a hybrid steepest-descent method. In this case, the variational inequality defined on such feasible set is also called a hierarchical variational inequality (HVI). Yamada's method is subsequently extended and modified to solve more complex problems, see, e.g., [3] and references therein.

Recently, Ceng and Yao [7] introduced and analyzed relaxed implicit and explicit viscosity approximation methods for solving a hierarchical variational inequality defined over the common fixed point set of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a real strictly convex and reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm. In [4], Cai and Bu constructed an iterative algorithm for solving a GSVI (1.2) and a fixed point problem of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . They proved the strong convergence of the proposed algorithm under some mild assumptions. In [8], Ceng and Wen proposed hybrid implicit and explicit extragradient methods for solving a zero point problem of an accretive operator, the GSVI (1.2) and a common fixed point problem of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space which has a uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm. In [9], Ceng, Al-Otaibi, Ansari and Latif introduced some relaxed and composite viscosity methods for solving a zero point problem of an accretive operator, the GSVI (1.2) and a common fixed point problem of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space which is also 2-uniformly smooth or has a uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm. we remark that the restrictions imposed on an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings in [8] are very differently from those in [9].

Let $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings on a nonempty closed convex subset C of a real Banach space X . Let $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$. Consider the nonexpansive mapping W_k defined by $U_{k,k+1} = I$ and

$$\begin{cases} U_{k,k} = (1 - \rho_k)I + \rho_k T_k U_{k,k+1}, \\ \dots \\ U_{k,i} = (1 - \rho_i)I + \rho_i T_i U_{k,i+1}, \\ \dots \\ U_{k,2} = (1 - \rho_2)I + \rho_2 T_2 U_{k,3}, \\ W_k = U_{k,1} = (1 - \rho_1)I + \rho_1 T_1 U_{k,2}, \quad \forall k \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The mapping W_k is called a W -mapping, generated by T_k, T_{k-1}, \dots, T_1 and $\rho_k, \rho_{k-1}, \dots, \rho_1$. If $X = H$ a real Hilbert space, Takahashi [21] first introduced such a W -mapping to find a common fixed point of $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (see also [20] for more details).

In the case that the feasible set is the common fixed point set of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings on H , based on the W -mapping (see [21]) and Moudafi's viscosity approximation method (see [16]), Kikkawa and Takahashi [13, 14] studied an implicit iteration scheme. Recently, based on a V -mapping, which is simpler than the W -mapping, Buong and Phong [3] introduced two new implicit iterative algorithms, which converge strongly in Banach spaces without weakly continuous duality mappings. These methods are two different combinations of the steepest-descent method with the V -mapping.

In this paper, we introduce and analyze viscosity Mann-like algorithm for solving the GSVI (1.2), and a common fixed point problem of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings, and a zero point problem of an m -accretive operator. We establish strong convergence theorems for the proposed algorithms via the V -mapping in a real reflexive Banach space X with the uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and the normal structure. The results presented in this paper improve, extend, and develop the corresponding results announced by some others, e.g., [3, 4, 8, 9] and the references therein.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a real Banach space and let C be its closed convex subset. Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonlinear mapping.

Recall that T is said to be

- (i) strongly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and some $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \leq \alpha \|x-y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C;$$

- (ii) pseudocontractive if there exists some $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \leq \|x-y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Next, we list two existence results of fixed points.

Proposition 2.1. [12] *Let C be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X which also has the normal structure. Let T be a nonexpansive self-mapping on C . Then, $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proposition 2.2. [11] *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Banach space X , and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous and strong pseudocontraction. Then T has a unique fixed point in C .*

Lemma 2.1. [24] *Let $\{s_k\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying*

$$s_{k+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_k)s_k + \alpha_k\beta_k + \gamma_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_k\}$, $\{\beta_k\}$ and $\{\gamma_k\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\{\alpha_k\} \subset [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k \leq 0$;
- (iii) $\gamma_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the subdifferential inequality of the function $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2$.

Lemma 2.2. [10] *Let X be a real Banach space and J be the normalized duality map on X . Then, for all $x, y \in X$ one has*

- (i) $\|x+y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x+y) \rangle, \forall j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$;
- (ii) $\|x+y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle, \forall j(x) \in J(x)$.

Let D be a subset of C and let Π be a mapping of C into D . Then Π is said to be sunny if

$$\Pi[\Pi(x) + t(x - \Pi(x))] = \Pi(x),$$

whenever

$$\Pi(x) + t(x - \Pi(x)) \in C$$

for $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. A mapping Π of C into itself is called a retraction if $\Pi^2 = \Pi$. If a mapping Π of C into itself is a retraction, then $\Pi(z) = z$ for each $z \in R(\Pi)$, where $R(\Pi)$ is the range of Π . A subset D of C is called a sunny nonexpansive retract of C if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto D .

Proposition 2.3. [18] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X . Let D be a nonempty subset of C and Π be a retraction of C onto D . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Π is sunny and nonexpansive;
- (ii) $\|\Pi(x) - \Pi(y)\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, J(\Pi(x) - \Pi(y)) \rangle, \forall x, y \in C$;
- (iii) $\langle x - \Pi(x), J(y - \Pi(x)) \rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in C, y \in D$.

It is well known that if X is a Hilbert space, then a sunny nonexpansive retraction Π_C coincides with the metric projection from X onto C . Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space X with a uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm. Recall that a closed convex subset C of a Banach space X is said to have a normal structure if for each bounded convex subset K of C which contains at least two points, there exists an element x of K which is not a diametral point of K , i.e., $\sup\{\|x - y\| : y \in K\} < d(K)$, where $d(K)$ is the diameter of K . It is well known that a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space has the normal structure and a compact convex subset of a Banach space has the normal structure; see [2] for more details.

Lemma 2.3. [17] *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and the normal structure, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous and bounded pseudocontraction with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a fixed continuous and bounded strong pseudocontraction with coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{x_t\}$ be the net generated by the following $x_t = tf(x_t) + (1 - t)Tx_t, \forall t \in (0, 1)$, Then $\{x_t\}$ converges strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ to a point x^* in $\text{Fix}(T)$, which is the unique solution in $\text{Fix}(T)$ to the following VI:*

$$\langle (I - f)x^*, J(x^* - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Recall that a mapping F with domain $D(F)$ and range $R(F)$ in a real Banach space X is said to be

- (a) accretive if, for each $x, y \in D(F)$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Fx - Fy, j(x - y) \rangle \geq 0;$$

- (b) δ -strongly accretive if, for each $x, y \in D(F)$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Fx - Fy, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \delta \|x - y\|^2 \quad \text{for some } \delta \in (0, 1);$$

- (c) α -inverse-strongly accretive if, for each $x, y \in D(F)$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Fx - Fy, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|Fx - Fy\|^2 \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in (0, 1);$$

- (d) ζ -strictly pseudocontractive if, for each $x, y \in D(F)$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Fx - Fy, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \zeta \|x - y - (Fx - Fy)\|^2 \quad \text{for some } \zeta \in (0, 1).$$

It is easy to see that the last inequality can be rewritten as

$$\langle (I - F)x - (I - F)y, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \zeta \|(I - F)x - (I - F)y\|^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where I denotes the identity mapping of X . Clearly, if F is ζ -strictly pseudocontractive with $\zeta = 0$, then it is said to be pseudocontractive. It is not hard to find that every nonexpansive mapping is pseudocontractive.

Lemma 2.4. [19] Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{z_k\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space X and let $\{\alpha_k\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ such that $0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k < 1$. Suppose that $x_{k+1} = \alpha_k x_k + (1 - \alpha_k)z_k, \forall k \geq 1$, and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|z_{k+1} - z_k\| - \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|) \leq 0.$$

Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_k - x_k\| = 0$.

Proposition 2.4. [1] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C and $A : C \rightarrow X$ be an accretive mapping. Then for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\text{VI}(C, A) = \text{Fix}(\Pi_C(I - \lambda A)).$$

Proposition 2.5. [8] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X and let the mapping $F : C \rightarrow X$ be ζ -strictly pseudocontractive and δ -strongly accretive with $\delta + \zeta \geq 1$. Then, for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\|(I - \lambda F)x - (I - \lambda F)y\| \leq \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{\zeta}} + (1 - \lambda)\left(1 + \frac{1}{\zeta}\right) \right\} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

In particular, if $1 - \frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta}(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{\zeta}}) \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then $I - \lambda F$ is nonexpansive.

Proposition 2.6. [8] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C and let the mapping $B_i : C \rightarrow X$ be ζ_i -strictly pseudocontractive and δ_i -strongly accretive with $\delta_i + \zeta_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $G : C \rightarrow C$ be the mapping defined by

$$G(x) = \Pi_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)\Pi_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)x, \quad \forall x \in C.$$

If $1 - \frac{\zeta_i}{1 + \zeta_i}(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta_i}{\zeta_i}}) \leq \mu_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $G : C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive.

Proposition 2.7. [8] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C . Let $B_1, B_2 : C \rightarrow X$ be two nonlinear mappings and μ_1, μ_2 be two positive numbers. For given $x^*, y^* \in C$, (x^*, y^*) is a solution of the GSVI (1.2) if and only if $x^* \in \Omega$ where Ω is the set of fixed points of the mapping $G := \Pi_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)\Pi_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)$ and $y^* = \Pi_C(x^* - \mu_2 B_2 x^*)$.

Remark 2.1. In [4, Lemma 2.10], Cai and Bu established the equivalence between the GSVI (1.2) and the fixed point problem of the mapping $G := \Pi_C(I - \lambda A)\Pi_C(I - \mu B)$ in a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Thus, Proposition 2.7 is more general than [4, Lemma 2.10] because the 2-uniform smoothness of X is replaced by the weaker condition, i.e., the smoothness of X .

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C . Let the mapping $B_i : C \rightarrow X$ be ξ_i -inverse-strongly accretive for $i = 1, 2$. Let $F : C \rightarrow X$ be δ -strongly accretive and ζ -strictly pseudocontractive with $\delta + \zeta > 1$. Assume that $v_i \in (0, \frac{\xi_i}{\kappa^2}), i = 1, 2$, where κ is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of X . Recently, Ceng, Gupta and Ansari [5] introduced the following iterative algorithm of Mann-like in order to solve GSVI (1.2).

Algorithm 2.1. [5] Put $G := \Pi_C(I - v_1 B_1)\Pi_C(I - v_2 B_2)$. For arbitrarily given $x_0 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_k\}$ be generated iteratively by

$$x_{k+1} = \beta_k x_k + \gamma_k G x_k + (1 - \beta_k - \gamma_k)\Pi_C(I - \lambda_k F)G x_k,$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}, \{\beta_k\}$ and $\{\gamma_k\}$ are three sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $\beta_k + \gamma_k \leq 1, \forall k \geq 0$.

It was proven in [5] that under appropriate conditions, $\{x_k\}$ converges in norm, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, to the unique solution $x^* \in \Omega$ to the following VI:

$$\langle F(x^*), J(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

Recall that a possibly multivalued operator $A \subset X \times X$ with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$ in X is accretive if for each $x_i \in D(A)$ and $y_i \in Ax_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), there exists $j(x_1 - x_2) \in J(x_1 - x_2)$ such that $\langle y_1 - y_2, j(x_1 - x_2) \rangle \geq 0$. An accretive operator A is said to satisfy the range condition if $\overline{D(A)} \subset R(I + rA)$ for all $r > 0$. An accretive operator A is m -accretive if $R(I + rA) = X$ for each $r > 0$. If A is an accretive operator which satisfies the range condition, then we can define, for each $r > 0$, a mapping $J_r : R(I + rA) \rightarrow D(A)$ by $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$, which is called the resolvent of A . It is well known that J_r is nonexpansive and $\text{Fix}(J_r) = A^{-1}0$ for all $r > 0$. Hence,

$$\text{Fix}(J_r) = A^{-1}0 = \{z \in D(A) : 0 \in Az\}.$$

If $A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$, then the inclusion $0 \in Az$ is solvable.

The following resolvent identity is well-known.

Proposition 2.8. (resolvent identity). For $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, and $x \in X$,

$$J_\lambda x = J_\mu \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} x + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right) J_\lambda x \right).$$

Recently, Ceng and Wen [8] proposed another explicit iterative scheme for finding a point $x^* \in \mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(S_i) \cap \Omega \cap A^{-1}0$ in a uniformly convex Banach space X which has a uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm:

$$\begin{cases} y_k = \alpha_k f(x_k) + \beta_k x_k + \gamma_k J_{r_k} x_k + \delta_k S_k x_k, \\ x_{k+1} = \sigma_k y_k + (1 - \sigma_k) G y_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Under approximate conditions on the parameter sequences, they proved the strong convergence of the sequence $\{x_k\}$ generated by (2.3) to the unique solution $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ to the VI

$$\langle (I - f)x^*, J(x^* - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space X and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite family of nonexpansive self-mappings on C . Let $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)$. Recently, Buong and Phuong [3] considered the following HVI with $C = X$: find $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\langle F(x^*), J(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (2.4)$$

By introducing a mapping V_k , defined by

$$V_k = V_k^1, \quad V_k^i = T^i T^{i+1} \dots T^k, \quad T^i = (1 - \alpha_i)I + \alpha_i T_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\alpha_i \in (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i < \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

Buong and Phuong [3] designed two implicit methods for the problem.

Let LIM be a continuous linear functional on l^∞ and $s = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^\infty$. We write $\text{LIM}_k a_k$ instead of $\text{LIM}(s)$. LIM is called a Banach limit if LIM satisfies $\|\text{LIM}\| = \text{LIM}_k 1 = 1$ and $\text{LIM}_k a_{k+1} = \text{LIM}_k a_k$ for all $(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^\infty$. If LIM is a Banach limit, then there hold the following:

- (i) for all $k \geq 1$, $a_k \leq c_k$ implies $\text{LIM}_k a_k \leq \text{LIM}_k c_k$;
- (ii) $\text{LIM}_k a_{k+m} = \text{LIM}_k a_k$ for any fixed positive integer m ;
- (iii) $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k \leq \text{LIM}_k a_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$ for all $(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^\infty$.

Lemma 2.5. [26] *Let $a \in \mathbf{R}$ be a real number and a sequence $\{a_k\} \in l^\infty$ satisfy the condition $\text{LIM}_k a_k \leq a$ for all Banach limit LIM. If $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_{k+m} - a_k) \leq 0$, then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k \leq a$.*

Lemma 2.6. [3] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space X and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^k$, $k \geq 1$, be k nonexpansive self-mappings on C such that the set of common fixed points $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{i=1}^k \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let a, b and α_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be real numbers such that $0 < a \leq \alpha_i \leq b < 1$, and let V_k be a mapping defined by (2.5) for all $k \geq 1$. Then, $\text{Fix}(V_k) = \mathcal{F}$.*

Lemma 2.7. [3] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space X and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an infinite family of nonexpansive self-mappings on C such that the set of common fixed points $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let V_k be a mapping defined by (2.5), and let α_i satisfy (2.6). Then, for each $x \in C$ and $i \geq 1$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k^i x$ exists.*

Remark 2.2. (i) We can define the mappings

$$V_\infty^i x := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k^i x \quad \text{and} \quad Vx := V_\infty^1 x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k x, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (2.7)$$

- (ii) It can be readily seen from the proof of Lemma 2.7 that if D is a nonempty and bounded subset of C , then the following holds:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in D} \|V_k^i x - V_\infty^i x\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

In particular, whenever $i = 1$, we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in D} \|V_k x - Vx\| = 0.$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex and smooth Banach space X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C . Let $B_i : C \rightarrow X$ be ζ_i -strictly pseudocontractive and δ_i -strongly accretive with $\delta_i + \zeta_i \geq 1$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Define the mapping $G : C \rightarrow C$ by $G = \Pi_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)\Pi_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)$, where $1 - \frac{\zeta_i}{1 + \zeta_i} (1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta_i}{\zeta_i}}) \leq \mu_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of nonexpansive self-mappings on C such that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let α_i satisfy (2.6). Then, $\text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, we know that $G : C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Then it is obvious that $Gp = p$ and $V_k^i p = p$ for all integers $i, k \geq 1$ with $k \geq i$. So, we have $V_\infty^i Gp = p$ for all integer $i \geq 1$. In particular, we have $(V \circ G)p = V_\infty^1 Gp$ and hence $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Fix}(V \circ G)$.

Next, we prove that $\text{Fix}(V \circ G) \subset \mathcal{F}$. Let $x \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G)$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|V_k Gx - V_k Gy\| &= \|V_k^1 Gx - V_k^1 Gy\| \\
 &= \|(1 - \alpha_1)(V_k^2 Gx - V_k^2 Gy) + \alpha_1(T_1 V_k^2 Gx - T_1 V_k^2 Gy)\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_1)\|V_k^2 Gx - V_k^2 Gy\| + \alpha_1\|V_k^2 Gx - V_k^2 Gy\| \\
 &= \|V_k^2 Gx - V_k^2 Gy\| \\
 &\leq \|V_k^{i+1} Gx - V_k^{i+1} Gy\| \\
 &\leq \|V_k^k Gx - V_k^k Gy\| \\
 &\leq \|Gx - Gy\| \\
 &\leq \|x - y\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\|(V \circ G)x - (V \circ G)y\| = \|x - y\|$ implies that

$$\|V_\infty^i Gx - V_\infty^i Gy\| = \|V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - V_\infty^{i+1} Gy\| = \|Gx - y\|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(1 - \alpha_i)(V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - V_\infty^{i+1} Gy) + \alpha_i(T_i V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - T_i V_\infty^{i+1} Gy)\| \\
 &= \|V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - V_\infty^{i+1} Gy\| \\
 &= \|Gx - y\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

for every $i \geq 1$. Since X is strictly convex, $0 < \alpha_i < 1$, and $y \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $Gx - y = T_i V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - T_i V_\infty^{i+1} Gy = T_i V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - y$ and $Gx - y = V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - V_\infty^{i+1} Gy = V_\infty^{i+1} Gx - y$. Hence, $Gx = T_i V_\infty^{i+1} Gx$ and $Gx = V_\infty^{i+1} Gx$ for every $i \geq 1$. Consequently, for every $i \geq 1$, we have $Gx = T_i Gx$. In particular, when $i = 1$, we have that $Gx = T_1 V_\infty^2 Gx$ and $Gx = V_\infty^2 Gx$. So, it follows that

$$x = (V \circ G)x = (1 - \alpha_1)V_\infty^2 Gx + \alpha_1 T_1 V_\infty^2 Gx = Gx,$$

which together with $Gx = T_i Gx, \forall i \geq 1$, implies that, for every $i \geq 1, x = T_i x$. It means that $x \in \mathcal{F}$. This completes the proof. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and the normal structure, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C . Let $B_i : C \rightarrow X$ be ζ_i -strictly pseudocontractive and δ_i -strongly accretive with $\delta_i + \zeta_i \geq 1$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Define the mapping $G : C \rightarrow C$ by $G = \Pi_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)\Pi_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)$, where $1 - \frac{\zeta_i}{1 + \zeta_i}(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta_i}{\zeta_i}}) \leq \mu_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a fixed continuous bounded and strong pseudocontraction with coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of nonexpansive self-mappings on C such that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be defined by (2.5) and (2.6) such that $\text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence generated in the implicit manner*

$$x_k = \gamma_k f(x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k)V_k G[(1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k V_k Gx_k], \quad \forall k \geq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\{\beta_k\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and $\{\gamma_k\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k / \gamma_k = 0$. Then $\{x_k\}$ defined by (3.1) converges strongly to a point $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ which is the unique solution of the

following VI

$$\langle (I - f)x^*, J(x^* - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. For each $k \geq 1$, define a mapping $U_k : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$U_k x = \gamma_k f(x) + (1 - \gamma_k) V_k G[(1 - \beta_k)x + \beta_k V_k Gx].$$

Then $U_k : C \rightarrow C$ is a continuous, strong pseudocontraction for each $k \geq 1$. Indeed, since f is a strong pseudocontraction with coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle U_k x - U_k y, J(x - y) \rangle \\ & \leq \gamma_k \alpha \|x - y\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_k) \|V_k G[(1 - \beta_k)x + \beta_k V_k Gx] - V_k G[(1 - \beta_k)y + \beta_k V_k Gy]\| \|x - y\| \\ & \leq \gamma_k \alpha \|x - y\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_k) \|(1 - \beta_k)(x - y) + \beta_k(V_k Gx - V_k Gy)\| \|x - y\| \\ & \leq \gamma_k \alpha \|x - y\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_k) [(1 - \beta_k)\|x - y\| + \beta_k \|V_k Gx - V_k Gy\|] \|x - y\| \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma_k(1 - \alpha)) \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 2.2, we know that U_k has a unique fixed point x_k in C for each $k \geq 1$. Hence (3.1) is well defined. Next, we show that $\{x_k\}$ is bounded. For any $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $k \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - p\|^2 & \leq \gamma_k \alpha \|x_k - p\|^2 + \gamma_k \langle f(p) - p, J(x_k - p) \rangle \\ & \quad + (1 - \gamma_k) \|V_k G[(1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k V_k Gx_k] - p\| \|x_k - p\| \\ & \leq \gamma_k \alpha \|x_k - p\|^2 + \gamma_k \langle f(p) - p, J(x_k - p) \rangle \\ & \quad + (1 - \gamma_k) \|(1 - \beta_k)(x_k - p) + \beta_k(V_k Gx_k - p)\| \|x_k - p\| \\ & \leq \gamma_k \alpha \|x_k - p\|^2 + \gamma_k \langle f(p) - p, J(x_k - p) \rangle \\ & \quad + (1 - \gamma_k) [(1 - \beta_k)\|x_k - p\| + \beta_k \|V_k Gx_k - p\|] \|x_k - p\| \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma_k(1 - \alpha)) \|x_k - p\|^2 + \gamma_k \langle f(p) - p, J(x_k - p) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|x_k - p\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \langle f(p) - p, J(x_k - p) \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows that

$$\|x_k - p\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \|f(p) - p\|.$$

Thus, $\{x_k\}$ is bounded, and so are the sequences $\{f(x_k)\}$, $\{y_k\}$, $\{V_k Gx_k\}$ and $\{V_k Gy_k\}$, where $y_k = (1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k V_k Gx_k$. Since $V : C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping, it follows that $V \circ G : C \rightarrow C$ is also nonexpansive. By Proposition 2.2, we deduce that, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a unique element $z_n \in C$ such that

$$z_n = \frac{1}{n} f(z_n) + (1 - \frac{1}{n}) V G z_n. \quad (3.4)$$

From Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $z_n \rightarrow x^* \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where x^* is the unique solution in \mathcal{F} to the VI (3.2). Furthermore, for each $k \geq 1$, we rewrite (3.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k & = \gamma_k f(x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) V_k G[(1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k V_k Gx_k] \\ & = \gamma_k f(x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) V_k G y_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_k = (1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k V_k G x_k$. Since f and $\{x_k\}$ are bounded, $\{f(x_k)\}$ is also bounded. Moreover, for every $k, n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - V G z_n\| &\leq \gamma_k \|f(x_k) - V G z_n\| + (1 - \gamma_k) \|V_k G y_k - V_k G z_n\| + (1 - \gamma_k) \|V_k G z_n - V G z_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_k \|f(x_k) - V G z_n\| + \|y_k - z_n\| + \|V_k G z_n - V G z_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_k \|f(x_k) - V G z_n\| + (1 - \beta_k) \|x_k - z_n\| + \beta_k \|V_k G x_k - z_n\| + \|V_k G z_n - V G z_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_k \|f(x_k) - V G z_n\| + \beta_k \|V_k G x_k - z_n\| + \|x_k - z_n\| + \|V_k G z_n - V G z_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If D is a nonempty and bounded subset of C , then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m_0 > i$ such that, for all $k > m_0$,

$$\sup_{x \in D} \|V_k^i x - V_\infty^i x\| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking $D = \{G z_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{G x_k : k \geq 1\}$ and setting $i = 1$, we have find from (3.6) that

$$\|V_k G z_n - V G z_n\| \leq \sup_{x \in D} \|V_k x - V x\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|V_k G x_k - V G x_k\| \leq \sup_{x \in D} \|V_k x - V x\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

which immediately implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|V_k G z_n - V G z_n\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|V_k G x_k - V G x_k\| = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k = 0$. Let LIM be a Banach limit. Then from (3.5), we get

$$\text{LIM}_k \|x_k - V G z_n\|^2 \leq \text{LIM}_k \|x_k - z_n\|^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Again from (3.4), we have

$$x_k - z_n = \frac{1}{n}(x_k - f(z_n)) + (1 - \frac{1}{n})(x_k - V G z_n),$$

that is,

$$(1 - \frac{1}{n})(x_k - V G z_n) = x_k - z_n - \frac{1}{n}(x_k - f(z_n)).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \frac{1}{n})^2 \|x_k - V G z_n\|^2 &\geq \|x_k - z_n\|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \langle x_k - z_n + z_n - f(z_n), J(x_k - z_n) \rangle \\ &= (1 - \frac{2}{n}) \|x_k - z_n\|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \langle f(z_n) - z_n, J(x_k - z_n) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By using (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$(1 - \frac{1}{n})^2 \text{LIM}_k \|x_k - z_n\|^2 \geq (1 - \frac{2}{n}) \text{LIM}_k \|x_k - z_n\|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \text{LIM}_k \langle f(z_n) - z_n, J(x_k - z_n) \rangle,$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \text{LIM}_k \|x_k - z_n\|^2 \geq \frac{2}{n} \text{LIM}_k \langle f(z_n) - z_n, J(x_k - z_n) \rangle.$$

This implies that $\frac{1}{2n} \text{LIM}_k \|x_k - z_n\|^2 \geq \text{LIM}_k \langle f(z_n) - z_n, J(x_k - z_n) \rangle$. Since $z_n \rightarrow x^* \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the uniformly Gateaux differentiability of the norm of X , we have

$$\text{LIM}_k \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $x_k = \gamma_k f(x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) V_k G y_k$, where $y_k = (1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k V_k G x_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I - f)x_k &= (1 - \gamma_k)(V_k G y_k - f(x_k)) \\ &= (1 - \gamma_k)(V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k + V_k G x_k - x_k + x_k - f(x_k)) \\ &= (1 - \gamma_k)(V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k) - (1 - \gamma_k)(I - V_k G)x_k + (1 - \gamma_k)(I - f)x_k, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(I - f)x_k = \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} (V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k) - \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} (I - V_k G)x_k.$$

Consequently, for $x^* \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I - f)x_k, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle &= \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} \langle V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} \langle (I - V_k G)x_k - (I - V_k G)x^*, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} \langle V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} \|V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k\| \|x_k - x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} \|y_k - x_k\| \|x_k - x^*\| \\ &= \frac{1 - \gamma_k}{\gamma_k} \beta_k \|V_k G x_k - x_k\| \|x_k - x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_k}{\gamma_k} \|V_k G x_k - x_k\| \|x_k - x^*\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Let us show that $\|x_k - V_k G x_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - V_k G x_k\| &\leq \|x_k - V_k G y_k\| + \|V_k G y_k - V_k G x_k\| \\ &\leq \gamma_k \|f(x_k) - V_k G y_k\| + \|y_k - x_k\| \\ &= \gamma_k \|f(x_k) - V_k G y_k\| + \beta_k \|V_k G x_k - x_k\|, \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\gamma_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$, yields that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - V_k G x_k\| = 0$. Since

$$\|x_k - V_k G x_k\| \leq \|x_k - V_k G x_k\| + \|V_k G x_k - V_k G x_k\|,$$

we obtain from (3.7) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - V_k G x_k\| = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I - f)x_k, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle &= \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \langle x^* - f(x^*), J(x_k - x^*) \rangle + \langle f(x^*) - f(x_k), J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha) \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \langle x^* - f(x^*), J(x_k - x^*) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.13) that

$$\|x_k - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} (\langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle + \frac{\beta_k}{\gamma_k} \|V_k G x_k - x_k\| \|x_k - x^*\|).$$

This together with (3.10), implies that $\text{LIM}_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 \leq 0$, i.e., $\text{LIM}_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 = 0$. Thus, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{k_i}\}$ of $\{x_k\}$ which converges strongly to $x^* \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$.

Now, assume that there exists another subsequence $\{x_{m_j}\}$ of $\{x_k\}$ such that $x_{m_j} \rightarrow \tilde{x} \in C$. According to (3.12), we know that $\tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$. Then we have that $\|(I - f)x_{m_j} - (I - f)\tilde{x}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We claim that \tilde{x} is a solution in \mathcal{F} to the VI (3.2). Indeed, since for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$ the sequences $\{x_{m_j} - p\}$

and $\{x_{m_j} - f(x_{m_j})\}$ are bounded and J is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on every bounded subset of X , we deduce that as $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle (I-f)x_{m_j}, J(x_{m_j} - p) \rangle - \langle (I-f)\tilde{x}, J(\tilde{x} - p) \rangle | \\ & \leq \| (I-f)x_{m_j} - (I-f)\tilde{x} \| \|x_{m_j} - p\| + | \langle (I-f)\tilde{x}, J(x_{m_j} - p) - J(\tilde{x} - p) \rangle | \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (3.11) that, for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\langle f(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x}, J(p - \tilde{x}) \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x_{m_j}) - x_{m_j}, J(p - x_{m_j}) \rangle \leq 0.$$

This is, $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ is a solution of the VI (3.2). Hence, $\tilde{x} = x^*$. Therefore, each cluster point of $\{x_k\}$ is x^* . So $\{x_k\}$ converges strongly to x^* , which is the unique solution in \mathcal{F} to the VI (3.2). This completes the proof. \square

Now we state and prove the strong convergence theorem for Mann-type explicit viscosity iterative algorithm.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and the normal structure, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X . Let Π_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from X onto C . Let $B_i : C \rightarrow X$ be ζ_i -strictly pseudocontractive and δ_i -strongly accretive with $\delta_i + \zeta_i \geq 1$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Define the mapping $G : C \rightarrow C$ by $G = \Pi_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)\Pi_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)$, where $1 - \frac{\zeta_i}{1 + \zeta_i}(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta_i}{\zeta_i}}) \leq \mu_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a fixed contraction with coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of nonexpansive self-mappings on C such that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be defined by (2.5) and (2.6) such that $\text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$. For any given $x_1 \in C$, let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence defined by*

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k)x_k + \varepsilon_k f(x_k) + \beta_k V_k G y_k, \\ y_k = (1 - \gamma_k)x_k + \gamma_k V_k G x_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ and $\{\beta_k\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$ with $\varepsilon_k + \beta_k \leq 1, \forall k \geq 1$, and $\{\gamma_k\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$. Assume that

- (i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k = \infty$ and $0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k < 1$;
- (ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k| = 0$ and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k < 1$.

Then there hold the following assertions:

- (I) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| = 0$;
- (II) the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ which is the unique solution in \mathcal{F} to the VI (3.2) provided $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k = 0$ and $\beta_k \equiv \beta$ for some fixed $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Step 1. The proof of conclusion (I).

First, we claim that $\{x_k\}$ is bounded. Indeed, take a fixed $p \in \mathcal{F}$ arbitrarily. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1} - p\| & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k)\|x_k - p\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(x_k) - p\| + \beta_k\|V_k G y_k - p\| \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k)\|x_k - p\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(x_k) - f(p)\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(p) - p\| + \beta_k\|y_k - p\| \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k)\|x_k - p\| + \alpha\varepsilon_k\|x_k - p\| + \beta_k\|y_k - p\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(p) - p\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_k - p\| &\leq \|(1 - \gamma_k)\|x_k - p\| + \gamma_k\|V_k Gx_k - p\| \\
&\leq \|(1 - \gamma_k)\|x_k - p\| + \gamma_k\|x_k - p\| \\
&= \|x_k - p\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+1} - p\| &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k)\|x_k - p\| + \alpha\varepsilon_k\|x_k - p\| + \beta_k\|y_k - p\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(p) - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k)\|x_k - p\| + \alpha\varepsilon_k\|x_k - p\| + \beta_k\|x_k - p\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(p) - p\| \\
&= (1 - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k)\|x_k - p\| + \varepsilon_k\|f(p) - p\| \\
&\leq \max\{\|x_k - p\|, \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\|x_k - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_1 - p\|, \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}\}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Hence it follows that $\{x_k\}$ is bounded, so are $\{y_k\}$, $\{V_k Gx_k\}$, $\{V_k Gy_k\}$ and $f(x_k)$.

Second, we claim that $\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, define a sequence $\{w_k\}$ by

$$x_{k+1} = \rho_k x_k + (1 - \rho_k)w_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

where $\rho_k = 1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta_k$, $\forall k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{k+1} - w_k &= \frac{x_{k+2} - \rho_{k+1}x_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} - \frac{x_{k+1} - \rho_k x_k}{1 - \rho_k} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}f(x_{k+1}) + \beta_{k+1}V_{k+1}Gy_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon_k f(x_k) + \beta_k V_k Gy_k}{1 - \rho_k} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}}f(x_{k+1}) - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{1 - \rho_k}f(x_k) + \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}}(V_{k+1}Gy_{k+1} - V_{k+1}Gy_k) \\
&\quad + V_{k+1}Gy_k - V_k Gy_k + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{1 - \rho_k}V_k Gy_k - \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}}V_{k+1}Gy_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{k+1} - y_k\| &\leq (1 - \gamma_{k+1})\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|\|x_k\| \\
&\quad + \gamma_{k+1}\|V_{k+1}Gx_{k+1} - V_k Gx_k\| + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|\|V_k Gx_k\| \\
&\leq (1 - \gamma_{k+1})\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|\|x_k\| + \gamma_{k+1}(\|V_{k+1}Gx_{k+1} - V_{k+1}Gx_k\| \\
&\quad + \|V_{k+1}Gx_k - V_k Gx_k\|) + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|\|V_k Gx_k\| \\
&\leq \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|\|x_k\| + \gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1}\|T_{k+1}Gx_k - Gx_k\| \\
&\quad + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|\|V_k Gx_k\| \\
&= \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k|(\|x_k\| + \|V_k Gx_k\|) + \gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1}\|T_{k+1}Gx_k - Gx_k\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.15) with (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|w_{k+1} - w_k\| - \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} (\|f(x_{k+1})\| + \|V_{k+1}Gy_k\|) + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{1 - \rho_k} (\|f(x_k)\| + \|V_kGy_k\|) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} \|V_{k+1}Gy_{k+1} - V_{k+1}Gy_k\| + \|V_{k+1}Gy_k - V_kGy_k\| - \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} (\|f(x_{k+1})\| + \|V_{k+1}Gy_k\|) + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{1 - \rho_k} (\|f(x_k)\| + \|V_kGy_k\|) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} \{ \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k| (\|x_k\| + \|V_kGx_k\|) \} \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1} \|T_{k+1}Gx_k - Gx_k\| + \alpha_{k+1} \|T_{k+1}Gy_k - Gy_k\| - \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} (\|f(x_{k+1})\| + \|V_{k+1}Gy_k\|) + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{1 - \rho_k} (\|f(x_k)\| + \|V_kGy_k\|) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{1 - \rho_{k+1}} \{ |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k| (\|x_k\| + \|V_kGx_k\|) + \gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1} \|T_{k+1}Gx_k - Gx_k\| \} \\
 & \quad + \alpha_{k+1} \|T_{k+1}Gy_k - Gy_k\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

So, it follows from (3.17), $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ and conditions (i), (ii) that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|w_{k+1} - w_k\| - \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|) \leq 0.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$ and $0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k < 1$, we have

$$0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k < 1.$$

From Lemma 2.4, we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|w_k - x_k\| = 0$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \rho_k) \|w_k - x_k\| = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Step 2. The proof of conclusion (II).

Suppose that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k = 0$ and $\beta_k \equiv \beta$ for some fixed $\beta \in (0, 1)$. In this case, conditions (i), (ii) are still satisfied. Let $\{z_n\}$ be defined by (3.4) such that $z_n \rightarrow x^* \in \text{Fix}(V \circ G) = \mathcal{F}$, where x^* is the unique solution in \mathcal{F} to the VI (3.2). Observe that, for every $k, n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{k+1} - VGz_n\| & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta) \|x_k - VGz_n\| + \varepsilon_k \|f(x_k) - VGz_n\| \\
 & \quad + \beta [\|V_kGy_k - V_kGz_n\| + \|V_kGz_n - VGz_n\|] \\
 & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta) \|x_k - VGz_n\| + \varepsilon_k \|f(x_k) - VGz_n\| \\
 & \quad + \beta [\|y_k - z_n\| + \|V_kGz_n - VGz_n\|] \\
 & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta) \|x_k - VGz_n\| + \varepsilon_k \|f(x_k) - VGz_n\| \\
 & \quad + \beta [\|x_k - z_n\| + \|y_k - x_k\| + \|V_kGz_n - VGz_n\|] \\
 & = (1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta) \|x_k - VGz_n\| + \varepsilon_k \|f(x_k) - VGz_n\| \\
 & \quad + \beta [\|x_k - z_n\| + \gamma_k \|V_kGx_k - x_k\| + \|V_kGz_n - VGz_n\|] \\
 & \leq \sigma_k + (1 - \beta) \|x_k - VGz_n\| + \beta \|x_k - z_n\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\sigma_k = \varepsilon_k \|f(x_k) - VGz_n\| + \beta [\gamma_k \|V_kGx_k - x_k\| + \|V_kGz_n - VGz_n\|].$$

Repeating the same arguments as those of (3.7) in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|V_k Gz_n - VGz_n\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|V_k Gx_k - VGx_k\| = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|V_k Gz_n - VGz_n\| = 0$, we know that $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1} - VGz_n\|^2 &\leq ((1 - \beta)\|x_k - VGz_n\| + \beta\|x_k - z_n\|)^2 \\ &\quad + \sigma_k[2((1 - \beta)\|x_k - VGz_n\| + \beta\|x_k - z_n\|) + \sigma_k] \\ &= (1 - \beta)^2\|x_k - VGz_n\|^2 + \beta^2\|x_k - z_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\beta(1 - \beta)\|x_k - VGz_n\|\|x_k - z_n\| + \theta_k \\ &\leq (1 - \beta)^2\|x_k - VGz_n\|^2 + \beta^2\|x_k - z_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \beta(1 - \beta)(\|x_k - VGz_n\|^2 + \|x_k - z_n\|^2) + \theta_k \\ &= (1 - \beta)\|x_k - VGz_n\|^2 + \beta\|x_k - z_n\|^2 + \theta_k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where $\theta_k = \sigma_k[2((1 - \beta)\|x_k - VGz_n\| + \beta\|x_k - z_n\|) + \sigma_k] \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For any Banach limit LIM, we derive from (3.21) that

$$\text{LIM}_k \|x_k - VGz_n\|^2 = \text{LIM}_k \|x_{k+1} - VGz_n\|^2 \leq \text{LIM}_k \|x_k - z_n\|^2.$$

Observe that $x_k - z_n = \frac{1}{n}(x_k - f(z_n)) + (1 - \frac{1}{n})(x_k - VGz_n)$. By the same argument as that of (3.10) in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\text{LIM}_k \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.18) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle - \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle| = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Hence by Lemma 2.5 we deduce from (3.22) and (3.23) that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - I)x^*, J(x_k - x^*) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Finally, we show that $x_k \rightarrow x^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 2.2 (i) and (3.14) with $\beta_k \equiv \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta)(x_k - x^*) + \varepsilon_k(f(x_k) - x^*) + \beta(V_k G y_k - x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta)(x_k - x^*) + \beta(V_k G y_k - x^*)\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_k \langle f(x_k) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle \\ &\leq [(1 - \varepsilon_k - \beta)\|x_k - x^*\| + \beta\|V_k G y_k - x^*\|]^2 + 2\varepsilon_k \langle f(x_k) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_k)^2\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_k [\langle f(x_k) - f(x^*), J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle + \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle] \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_k)^2\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_k [\alpha\|x_k - x^*\|\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| + \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle] \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_k)^2\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \alpha\varepsilon_k [\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] + 2\varepsilon_k \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_k)^2 + \alpha\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha\varepsilon_k} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha\varepsilon_k} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle. \quad (3.25)$$

Observe that for all $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{(1 - \varepsilon_k)^2 + \alpha \varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} = \frac{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k - 2\varepsilon_k(1 - \alpha) + \varepsilon_k^2}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} = 1 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} + \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2(1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} - \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} \right) / \varepsilon_k = 2(1 - \alpha) > 1 - \alpha,$$

we may assume, without loss of generality, that for all $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{2(1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} - \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} \geq (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k.$$

This, together with (3.25), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \\ & \leq \left[1 - \left(\frac{2(1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} - \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} \right) \right] \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle \\ & \leq [1 - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k] \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon_k}{1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle \\ & = [1 - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k] \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k \cdot \frac{2}{(1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k)(1 - \alpha)} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k = \infty$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$ and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle \leq 0$ (due to (3.24)), we know that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_k = \infty$ and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{(1 - \alpha \varepsilon_k)(1 - \alpha)} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 2.1 to (3.26) we conclude that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful the anonymous referees for their useful suggestions. This paper was partly supported by the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (15ZZ068), Ph.D. Program Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (20123127110002) and Program for Outstanding Academic Leaders in Shanghai City (15XD1503100).

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Aoyama, H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2006 (2006), Article ID 35390.
- [2] F. E. Browder, W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 20 (1967), 197–228.
- [3] N. Buong, N. T. H. Phuong, Strong convergence to solutions for a class of variational inequalities in Banach spaces by implicit iteration methods, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 159 (2013), 399–411.
- [4] G. Cai, S. Q. Bu, Convergence analysis for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 55 (2012), 538–546.
- [5] L. C. Ceng, H. Gupta, Q. H. Ansari, Implicit and explicit algorithms for a system of nonlinear variational inequalities in Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 16 (2015), 965–984.
- [6] L. C. Ceng, C. Y. Wang, J. C. Yao, Strong convergence theorems by a related extragradient method for a general system of variational inequalities, *Math. Meth. Oper. Res.* 67 (2008), 375–390.

- [7] L. C. Ceng, J. C. Yao, Relaxed viscosity approximation methods for fixed point problems and variational inequality problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008), 3299-3309.
- [8] L. C. Ceng, C. F. Wen, Hybrid extragradient methods for finding zeros of accretive operators and solving variational inequality and fixed point problems in Banach spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 894926.
- [9] L. C. Ceng, A. Al-Otaibi, Q. H. Ansari, A. Latif, Relaxed and composite viscosity methods for variational inequalities, fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and zeros of accretive operators, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 29.
- [10] S. S. Chang, Some problems and results in the study of nonlinear analysis, *Nonlinear Anal.* 30 (1997), 4197-4208.
- [11] K. Deimling, Zeros of accretive operators, *Manuscripta Math.* 13 (1974), 365-374.
- [12] W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 72 (1965), 1004-1006.
- [13] M. Kikkawa, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems by the viscosity approximation methods for a countable family of nonexpansive mappings, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 2 (2008), 583-598.
- [14] M. Kikkawa, W. Takahashi, Viscosity approximation methods for countable families of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *RIMS Kokyuroku* 1484 (2006), 105-113.
- [15] G. M. Korpelevich, An extragradient method for finding saddle points and for other problems, *Ekonom. Mat. Metody* 12 (1976), 747-756.
- [16] A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-point problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 241 (2000), 46-55.
- [17] X. Qin, S. Y. Cho, L. Wang, Iterative algorithms with errors for zeros points of m -accretive operators, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 148.
- [18] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 67 (1979), 274-276.
- [19] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 305 (2005) 227-239.
- [20] K. Shimoji, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 5 (2001), 387-404.
- [21] W. Takahashi, Weak and strong convergence theorems for families of nonexpansive mappings and their applications, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska.* 51 (1997), 277-292.
- [22] R.U. Verma, On a new system of nonlinear variational inequalities and associated iterative algorithms, *Math. Sci. Res. Hot-line* 3 (1999), 65-68.
- [23] R.U. Verma, Projection methods, algorithms and a new system of nonlinear variational inequalities, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 41 (2001), 1025-1031.
- [24] Z.Q. Xue, H.Y. Zhou, Y.J. Cho, Iterative solutions of nonlinear equations for m -accretive operators in Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 1 (2000), 313-320.
- [25] I. Yamada, The hybrid steepest-descent method for the variational inequality problems over the intersection of the fixed-point sets of nonexpansive mappings, in: *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications*, D. Batnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, eds., Amsterdam, North-Holland, Holland, (2001), pp. 473-504.
- [26] H. Zhou, L. Wei, Y.J. Cho, Strong convergence theorems on an iterative method for a family of finite nonexpansive mappings in reflexive Banach spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 173 (2006), 196-212.