

APPROXIMATION OF COMMON SOLUTIONS FOR A FINITE FAMILY OF GENERALIZED DEMIMETRIC MAPPINGS AND MONOTONE INCLUSION PROBLEMS IN CAT(0) SPACES

G.C. UGWUNNADI^{1,2*}, C.C. OKEKE²

¹*Department of Mathematics, University of Eswatini, Kwaluseni, Eswatini*

²*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,
Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Pretoria, South Africa*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a modified Halpern-Mann algorithm and study the strong convergence of the algorithm for approximating common solution of a finite family of monotone inclusion problems and a finite family of generalized demimetric mappings in complete CAT(0) spaces. Some applications are also considered.

Keywords. Monotone operator; Fixed point; Zero point; Strong Convergence; Hadamard Space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The inclusion problem (IP) with a set-valued operator A in a Hilbert space H is consists of finding

$$x \in H \text{ such that } 0 \in Ax. \quad (1.1)$$

The solution set of problem (1.1) is denoted by $A^{-1}(0)$. This problem is closely related to many real-world problems, such as signal processing, medical imaging, and machine learning [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and the references therein.

In 1970, Martinet [6] first studied solutions of problem (1.1) in Hilbert spaces. Later, Rockafellar [7] further studied the inclusion problem by introducing the following iterative algorithm in a Hilbert space H

$$x_1 \in H, \quad x_n = J_{\lambda_n}(x_{n-1}), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers and J_λ is the resolvent of A defined by $J_\lambda = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$ for $\lambda > 0$, and A is a maximal monotone operator in H . The algorithm is called the Proximal Point Algorithm (PPA). Rockafellar proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.2) converges weakly to a solution of (1.1) provided $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ for each $n \geq 1$. The generalizations and modified versions of the proximal point algorithm in Hilbert were studied by many authors recently; see, e.g., [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] and the references therein.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ugwunnadi4u@yahoo.com (G.C. Ugwunnadi), chibueze.okeke87@yahoo.com (C.C. Okeke)
Received April 28, 2020; Accepted July 30, 2020.

On the other hand, by using the duality mapping theory introduced by Kakavandi and Amini [14], Khatibzadeh and Ranjbar [15] introduced and study solutions of problem (1.1) via the proximal point algorithm in complete CAT(0) space X

$$x_1 \in X, \quad x_n = J_{\lambda_n}^A x_{n-1}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$.

Recently, Ranjbar and Khatibzadeh [16] proposed the following Mann-type and Halpern-type proximal point algorithms in complete CAT(0) spaces for finding a solution of problem (1.1)

$$x_1 \in X, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) J_{\lambda_n}^A x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$u, x_1 \in X, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) J_{\lambda_n}^A x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$. They obtained a Δ -convergence result using the Mann-type proximal point algorithm and they also obtained a strong convergence result using the Halpern-type proximal point algorithm.

Let X be a metric space, and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of X . A point $x \in C$ is called a fixed point of a mapping $T : C \rightarrow X$ provided $Tx = x$. We denote by $F(T) := \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ the set of fixed points of T . Recently, many authors studied fixed points of nonlinear operators in convex metric spaces; see, e.g., [17, 18, 19, 20] and the references therein.

Recently, Aremu et al. [21] and Ugwunnadi et al. [22] used the concept of quasilinearization to define new operators in CAT(0) spaces as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of X . The mapping T from C into X is said to be

- (i) k -demimetric (see [21]) if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $k \in (-\infty, 1)$ such that

$$\langle \overrightarrow{x\bar{p}}, \overrightarrow{xTx} \rangle \geq \frac{1-k}{2} d^2(x, Tx), \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } p \in F(T). \quad (1.6)$$

- (ii) θ -generalized demimetric (see [22]) if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d^2(x, Tx) \leq \theta \langle \overrightarrow{x\bar{u}}, \overrightarrow{xTx} \rangle \quad (1.7)$$

for all $x \in C$ and $u \in F(T)$.

Remark 1.1. It is clear in Definition 1.1 that, for any $k \in (-\infty, 1)$, a k -demimetric mapping is $\frac{2}{1-k}$ -generalized demimetric. Also, for $\theta > 0$, a θ -generalized demimetric is $\left(1 - \frac{2}{\theta}\right)$ -demimetric.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper, we study a modified Halpern-Mann type algorithm for approximating common solution of a finite family of monotone inclusion problems and a finite family of generalized demimetric mappings. We also obtain a strong convergence theorem in Hadamard spaces. Our results unify and compliments many results in the current literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A geodesic path joining two elements x, y in a metric space X is an isometry $c : [0, l] \rightarrow X$, where $d(x, y) = l$ such that $c(0) = x$ and $c(l) = y$. The image of a geodesic path is called a geodesic segment. A metric space for which every two points can be joined by a geodesic segment is called a geodesic space. We say that a metric space X is uniquely geodesic if every two points of X are joined by only one geodesic segment (i.e., CAT(0) space). The examples of CAT(0) spaces are Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n and Hilbert spaces. For more details, please see [23, 24, 25, 26]. Complete CAT(0) spaces are often called Hadamard spaces.

Let $(1-t)x \oplus ty$ denote the unique point z in the geodesic segment joining x to y for each x, y in a CAT(0) space such that $d(z, x) = td(x, y)$ and $d(z, y) = (1-t)d(x, y)$, where $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $[x, y] := \{(1-t)x \oplus ty : t \in [0, 1]\}$. Then, a subset C of X is convex if $[x, y] \subseteq C$ for all $x, y \in C$.

In 2008, Breg and Nikolaev [27] introduced the concept of quilinearization mappings in CAT(0) spaces. They denoted a pair $(a, b) \in X \times X$ by \overrightarrow{ab} , which they called a vector and defined a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (d^2(a, d) + d^2(b, c) - d^2(a, c) - d^2(b, d)), \quad (a, b, c, d \in X), \quad (2.1)$$

which is called the quilinearization mapping. It is easy to verify that $\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{ab} \rangle = d^2(a, b)$, $\langle \overrightarrow{ba}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = -\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle$, $\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{ae}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{eb}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle$ and $\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{cd}, \overrightarrow{ab} \rangle$ for all $a, b, c, d, e \in X$. It has been established that a geodesically connected metric space is a CAT(0) space if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (see [27]). Recall that the space X is said to satisfy the Cauchy-Swartz inequality if $\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle \leq d(a, b)d(c, d) \forall a, b, c, d \in X$. Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, and let X^* be its dual space. A multivalued operator $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ with domain $\mathbb{D}(A) := \{x \in X : Ax \neq \emptyset\}$ is monotone if and only if, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}(A)$, $x^* \in Ax$, $y^* \in Ay$,

$$\langle x^* - y^*, \overrightarrow{yx} \rangle \geq 0 \quad (\text{see [15]}).$$

The resolvent of the operator A of order $\lambda > 0$ is the multivalued mapping $J_\lambda^A : X \rightarrow 2^X$ defined in [15] as

$$J_\lambda^A(x) := \{z \in X \mid [\frac{1}{\lambda} \overrightarrow{zx}] \in Az\}.$$

The operator A satisfies the range condition if for every $\lambda > 0$, $\mathbb{D}(J_\lambda^A) = X$ (see [15]). For simplicity, we shall write J_λ for the resolvent of a monotone operator A . Since our main contribution in this paper is on Hadamard spaces for monotone inclusion problems, it is worthwhile to provide a detailed proof of example of a monotone mapping in Hadamard spaces.

Example 2.1. [28] Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ be an \mathbb{R} -tree with the radical metric d_r , where $d_r(x, y) = d(x, y)$ if x and y are situated on the euclidean straight line passing through the origin and

$$d_r(x, y) = d(x, 0) + d(y, 0) := \|x\| + \|y\|,$$

otherwise let $p = (1, 0)$ and $X = B \cup C$, where

$$B = \{(h, 0) : h \in [0, 1]\} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \{(h, k) : h + k = 1, h \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then, (X, d_r) is an Hadamard space and X^* , which is a space of element $[\vec{tab}]$ such that

$$[\vec{tab}] = \begin{cases} \{\vec{sca} : c, d \in B, s \in \mathbb{R}, t(\|b\| - \|a\|) = S(\|d\| - \|c\|)\} a, b \in B, \\ \{\vec{sca} : c, d \in C \in \{0\}, s \in \mathbb{R}, t(\|b\| - \|a\|) = s(\|d\| - \|c\|),\} a, b \in C \cup \{0\}, \\ \{\vec{tab}\} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

is the dual space of X (see [29]). Now, defined $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ by

$$Ax := \begin{cases} \{[\vec{0p}]\}, & x \in B, \\ \{[\vec{0p}], [\vec{0x}]\}, & x \in C. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then A is a multivalued monotone operator. To see this we consider the cases:

(I) If $x, y \in B$, then $Ax = Ay = \{[\vec{0p}]\}$ and $x^* = y^* = [\vec{0p}]$. So, $\langle x^* - y^*, \vec{yx} \rangle = 0 \geq 0$.

(II) If $x, y \in C$, then $Ax = \{[\vec{0p}], [\vec{0x}]\}$ and $Ay = \{[\vec{0p}], [\vec{0y}]\}$.

(i) If $x^* = y^* = [\vec{0p}]$; then $\langle x^* - y^*, \vec{yx} \rangle = 0 \geq 0$.

(ii) If $x^* = [\vec{0x}]$ and $y^* = [\vec{0y}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^* - y^*, \vec{yx} \rangle &= \langle \vec{yx}, \vec{yx} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(d_r^2(y, x) + d_r^2(p, y) - d_r^2(p, x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((\|y\| + \|x\|)^2 + (1 + \|y\|)^2 - (1 + \|x\|)^2) \\ &\geq 0 \text{ (since } 1/\sqrt{2} \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) If $x^* = [\vec{0x}]$ and $y^* = [\vec{0p}]$, then $\langle x^* - y^*, \vec{yx} \rangle = \langle \vec{px}, \vec{yx} \rangle$, which is similar to (iii).

(III) If $x \in B, y \in C$. Then $Ax = \{[\vec{0p}]\}$, $Ay = \{[\vec{0p}], [\vec{0y}]\}$.

(i) If $x^* = y^* = [\vec{0p}]$, then $\langle x^* - y^*, \vec{yx} \rangle = 0 \geq 0$.

(ii) If $x^* = [\vec{0p}]$ and $y^* = [\vec{0y}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^* - y^*, \vec{yx} \rangle &= \langle \vec{yx}, \vec{yx} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(d_r^2(y, x) + d_r^2(p, y) - d_r^2(p, x)) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to $d(p, x) \leq 1 \leq d(p, y)$. Thus, A is monotone.

We state some known and useful results which will be needed in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 2.1. [30] *Let X be a CAT(0) space, $x, y, z \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then*

(i) $d(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y, z) \leq \lambda d(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)d(y, z)$.

(ii) $d^2(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y, z) \leq \lambda d^2(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)d^2(y, z) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)d^2(x, y)$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) space X . For $x \in X$, we set

$$r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n).$$

The asymptotic radius $r(\{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is given by

$$r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in X\},$$

and the asymptotic center $A(\{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is the set

$$A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X : r(x, \{x_n\}) = r(\{x_n\})\}.$$

It is well known that, in a CAT(0) space, $A(\{x_n\})$ consists of exactly one point ([31]). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Δ -convergent to $x \in X$, denoted by $\Delta - \lim_n x_n = x$ if x is the unique asymptotic center of $\{u_n\}$, for every subsequence $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$.

Lemma 2.2. [32] *If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in a closed and convex subset C of a complete CAT(0) space, then the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ is in C .*

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) space X , and let C be a closed and convex subset of X , which contains $\{x_n\}$. We employ the notation

$$\{x_n\} \rightharpoonup w \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, w) = \inf_{x \in C} (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x)).$$

We note that $\{x_n\} \rightharpoonup w$ if and only if $A(\{x_n\}) = \{w\}$ (see [33]).

Lemma 2.3. [34] *Let X be a CAT(0) space. For any $u, v, \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, let $u_t = tu \oplus (1-t)v$. Then, for all $x, y \in X$,*

- (i) $\langle \overrightarrow{u_t x}, \overrightarrow{u_t y} \rangle \leq t \langle \overrightarrow{u x}, \overrightarrow{u y} \rangle + (1-t) \langle \overrightarrow{v x}, \overrightarrow{v y} \rangle$;
- (ii) $\langle \overrightarrow{u_t x}, \overrightarrow{u y} \rangle \leq t \langle \overrightarrow{u x}, \overrightarrow{u y} \rangle + (1-t) \langle \overrightarrow{v x}, \overrightarrow{u y} \rangle$
and $\langle \overrightarrow{u_t x}, \overrightarrow{v y} \rangle \leq t \langle \overrightarrow{u x}, \overrightarrow{v y} \rangle + (1-t) \langle \overrightarrow{v x}, \overrightarrow{v y} \rangle$.

Lemma 2.4. [33] *If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in a closed and convex subset C of a complete CAT(0) space, then $\Delta - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$ implies that $\{x_n\} \rightharpoonup p$.*

Theorem 2.1. [15] *Let X be a CAT(0) space and let J_λ^A be the resolvent of the operator A of order λ . We have*

- (i) For any $\lambda > 0$, $\mathbb{R}(J_\lambda^A) \subset \mathbb{D}(A)$, $F(J_\lambda^A) = A^{-1}(0)$.
- (ii) If A is monotone then J_λ^A is a single-valued and firmly nonexpansive mapping.

The following remark is a consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.1. (see [35]) *If X is a CAT(0) space and J_λ^A is the resolvent of a monotone operator $A : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ of order $\lambda > 0$, then*

$$d^2(u, J_\lambda^A x) + d^2(J_\lambda^A x, x) \leq d^2(u, x),$$

for all $u \in A^{-1}(0)$ and $x \in \mathbb{D}(J_\lambda^A)$.

Proof. Indeed, for any $u \in A^{-1}(0)$, $x \in \mathbb{D}(J_\lambda^A)$ and $\lambda > 0$, we obtain from Theorem 2.1 (i) and (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(J_\lambda^A x, u) &\leq \langle \overrightarrow{J_\lambda^A x}, \overrightarrow{u} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(d^2(J_\lambda^A x, u) + d^2(u, x) - d^2(J_\lambda^A x, x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$d^2(u, J_\lambda^A x) + d^2(J_\lambda^A x, x) \leq d^2(u, x).$$

□

Lemma 2.5. [36] Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a complete CAT(0) space X , and $x \in X$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is Δ -convergent to x if and only if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{xx_n}, \overrightarrow{xy} \rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in X$.

Lemma 2.6. [19] Every bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) spaces always has a convergent subsequence.

Lemma 2.7. [17] Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of CAT(0) space X . Let $\{x_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be in C , and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1$. Then the following inequality hold:

- (i) $d(z, \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i d(z, x_i)$ for all $z \in C$.
- (ii) $d^2(z, \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i d^2(z, x_i) - \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j d^2(x_i, x_j)$ for all $z \in C$.

Lemma 2.8. [37] Let C be a nonempty, convex subset of CAT(0) space X . Let $\{u_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\} \subset C$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1$. Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \overrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \alpha_i u_i x}, \overrightarrow{xy} \right\rangle &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \langle \overrightarrow{u_i x}, \overrightarrow{xy} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i d^2(u_i, x) - d^2 \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \alpha_i u_i, x \right) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \langle \overrightarrow{u_i x}, \overrightarrow{xy} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i d^2(u_i, x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.9. [37] Let X be a CAT(0) space and let C a nonempty convex subset of X . Assume that $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N : C \rightarrow X$ is a finite family of k_i -demimetric mapping with $k_i \in (-\infty, 1)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a positive sequence with $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \alpha_i S_i : C \rightarrow X$ is a k -demimetric mapping if $k := \max\{k_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\} \leq 0$ and $F(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \alpha_i S_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i)$.

Definition 2.1. Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X . The metric projection $P_C : X \rightarrow C$ is defined by

$$u = P_C(x) \Leftrightarrow d(u, x) = \inf\{d(y, x) : y \in C\}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Lemma 2.10. [27] Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of complete CAT(0) space X . For any $x \in X$ and $u \in C$, $u = P_C x$ if and only if

$$\langle \overrightarrow{yu}, \overrightarrow{ux} \rangle \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.11. [22] Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a CAT(0) space X and let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be a θ -generalized demimetric mapping with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, it is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.12. [22] Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a CAT(0) space X and let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be a θ -generalized demimetric mapping. Then, for any $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ and $k \in (0, 1]$, $(1-k)I \oplus kT$ is θk -generalized demimetric from C into X .

Lemma 2.13. [21] Let X be a CAT(0) space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ a k -demimetric mapping with $k \in (-\infty, \lambda)$ with $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $T_\lambda x := (1-\lambda) \oplus \lambda T x$. Then T_λ is quasi-nonexpansive mapping and $F(T_\lambda) = F(T)$.

Lemma 2.14. [34] Let X be a complete CAT(0) space. Then, for all $u, x, y \in X$, the following inequality holds:

$$d^2(x, u) \leq d^2(y, u) + 2\langle \overrightarrow{xy}, \overrightarrow{xu} \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.15. [38] *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space. For all $u, x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let $z_1 = \alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)u$ and $z_2 = \alpha y \oplus (1 - \alpha)u$. Then*

$$\langle \overrightarrow{z_1 z_2}, \overrightarrow{x z_2} \rangle \leq \alpha \langle \overrightarrow{x y}, \overrightarrow{x u} \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.16. [39] *If $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following inequality:*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \alpha_n \sigma_n + \gamma_n, n \geq 0,$$

where, (i) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$, $\sum \alpha_n = \infty$; (ii) $\limsup \sigma_n \leq 0$; (iii) $\gamma_n \geq 0$; ($n \geq 0$) and $\sum \gamma_n < \infty$. Then, $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.17. [40] *If $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers and there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $a_{n_i} < a_{n_{i+1}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{m_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_k \rightarrow \infty$ and the following properties are satisfied: $a_{m_k} \leq a_{m_{k+1}}$ and $a_k \leq a_{m_{k+1}}$ for all sufficiently large numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, $m_k = \max\{j \leq k : a_j < a_{j+1}\}$.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space with dual X^* and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of X . Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N : C \rightarrow X$ be a finite family of θ_i -generalized demimetric mapping and Δ -demiclosed at 0 with $\theta_i \in (0, \infty)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Let $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be multivalued monotone mappings which satisfy the range condition. Assume that $\Upsilon := \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i^{-1}(0)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $u_n \rightarrow u \in X$. Assume for $k \in (0, \gamma)$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta_i k > 0$. For any $x_1 \in X$, let $\{x_n\}$ in X be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = J_\lambda^N \circ J_\lambda^{N-1} \circ \dots \circ J_\lambda^2 \circ J_\lambda^1 x_n, \\ z_n = (1 - \gamma)y_n \oplus \gamma \left[\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \xi_i ((1 - k) \oplus kT_i) y_n \right], \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n \oplus \beta_n x_n \oplus \sigma_n z_n, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\sigma\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sigma_n = 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in \Upsilon$.

Proof. Let $S_i = (1 - k) \oplus kT_i$ and $W_N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \xi_i S_i$. Then we can rewrite algorithm (3.1) as:

$$\begin{cases} y_n = J_\lambda^N \circ J_\lambda^{N-1} \circ \dots \circ J_\lambda^2 \circ J_\lambda^1 x_n, \\ z_n = (1 - \gamma)y_n \oplus \gamma W_N y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n \oplus \beta_n x_n \oplus \sigma_n z_n, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

since $T_i : C \rightarrow X$ is θ_i -generalized demimetric, by Lemma 2.11, we have that $F(T_i)$ is closed and convex for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Also, J_λ^i is firmly nonexpansive by Theorem 2.1 and hence nonexpansive for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Therefore $F(J_\lambda^i)$ is closed and convex for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Hence, $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i^{-1}(0))$ is nonempty closed and convex. Therefore, $P_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i^{-1}(0))}$ is well defined. Furthermore, T_i is θ_i -generalized demimetric with $\theta_i \in (0, \infty)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. So, for any $k \in (0, \gamma)$, with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, we find from

Lemma 2.12 that S_i is $\theta_i k$ -generalized demimetric for each i . By Remark 1.1, we have that S_i is $\left(1 - \frac{2}{\theta_i k}\right)$ -demimetric. We obtain from Lemma 2.9 that $W_N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \xi_i S_i$ is demimetric. It follows by Lemma 2.13 that $V_N := (1 - \gamma) \oplus \gamma W_N$ is quasi-nonexpansive and $F(V_N) = F(W_N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$. Let $p \in \Upsilon$, $\Psi_\lambda^N := J_\lambda^N \circ J_\lambda^{N-1} \circ \dots \circ J_\lambda^2 \circ J_\lambda^1$, where $\Psi_\lambda^0 = I$. Then by the definition of (y_n) in (3.2) and Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(y_n, p) &\leq d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-1} x_n, p) - d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-1} x_n, y_n) \\
&\leq d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-2} x_n, p) - d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-2} x_n, \Psi_\lambda^{N-1} x_n) - d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-1} x_n, y_n) \\
&\leq d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-3} x_n, p) - d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-3} x_n, \Psi_\lambda^{N-2} x_n) - d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-2} x_n, \Psi_\lambda^{N-1} x_n) \\
&\quad - d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{N-1} x_n, y_n) \\
&\leq d^2(x_n, p) - \sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{i-1} x_n, \Psi_\lambda^i x_n). \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (z_n) in (3.2), we get

$$d(z_n, p) \leq d(V_N y_n, p) \leq d(y_n, p) \leq d(x_n, p),$$

which together the definition of (x_{n+1}) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, p) &= \alpha_n d(u_n, p) + \beta_n d(x_n, p) + \sigma_n d(z_n, p) \\
&\leq \alpha_n d(u_n, p) + (\alpha_n + \sigma_n) d(x_n, p) \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n) d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d(u_n, p).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\sup d(u_n, p) \leq M$. Letting $M^* = \max\{d(x_1, p), M\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $d(x_1, p) \leq M^*$. Suppose that, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(x_t, p) \leq M^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, p) &\leq (1 - \alpha_t) d(x_t, p) + \alpha_t d(x_t, p) \\
&= (1 - \alpha_t) M^* + \alpha_t M^* = M^*.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain that $d(x_n, p) \leq M^*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. From Lemma 2.1(ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x_{n+1}, p) &= d^2(\alpha_n u_n \oplus \beta_n x_n \oplus \sigma_n z_n, p) \\
&\leq d^2 \left[(1 - \sigma_n) \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n \right) \oplus \sigma_n z_n, p \right] \\
&\leq (1 - \sigma_n) d^2 \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, p \right) + \sigma_n d^2(z_n, p) \\
&\leq \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) + \beta_n d^2(x_n, p) - \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} d^2(u_n, z_n) + \sigma_n d^2(y_n, p) \\
&\leq \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) + \beta_n d^2(x_n, p) + \sigma_n d^2(x_n, p) - \sigma_n \sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{i-1} x_n, \Psi_\lambda^i x_n) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) - \sigma_n \sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{i-1} x_n, \Psi_\lambda^i x_n). \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

We divide the remaining proof in two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $\{d(x_n, p)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-increasing sequence of a real numbers. Since $\{d(x_n, p)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, then its limit exists. With the fact that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sigma_n > 0$, (3.4) gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_{\lambda}^{i-1}x_n, \Psi_{\lambda}^i x_n) \leq d^2(x_n, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p) + \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p),$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_{\lambda}^{i-1}x_n, \Psi_{\lambda}^i x_n) = 0.$$

Note that $d^2(\Psi_{\lambda}^{i-1}x_n, \Psi_{\lambda}^i x_n)$ is nonnegative for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Hence, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\Psi_{\lambda}^{i-1}x_n, \Psi_{\lambda}^i x_n) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Using (y_n) in (3.2) and (3.5), we get

$$d(y_n, x_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N d(\Psi_{\lambda}^{i-1}x_n, \Psi_{\lambda}^i x_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n+1}, p) &= d^2(\alpha_n u_n \oplus \beta_n x_n \oplus \sigma_n z_n, p) \\ &\leq d^2 \left[(1 - \sigma_n) \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n \right) \oplus \sigma_n z_n, p \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \sigma_n) d^2 \left[\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n \right] + \sigma_n d^2(z_n, p) \\ &\leq \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) + \beta_n d^2(x_n, p) - \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} d^2(u_n, x_n) + \sigma_n d^2(z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) - \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} d^2(u_n, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} d^2(u_n, x_n) \leq d^2(x_n, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p) + \alpha_n d^2(x_n, p).$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Also, using Lemma 2.1(ii), we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x_{n+1}, p) &\leq d^2\left((1 - \sigma_n) \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n \right) \oplus \sigma_n z_n, p\right) \\
&\leq (1 - \sigma_n) d^2\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, p \right) + \sigma_n d^2(z_n, p) \\
&\quad - \sigma_n (1 - \sigma_n) d^2\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, z_n \right) \\
&\leq \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) + \beta_n d^2(x_n, p) + \sigma_n d^2(z_n, p) \\
&\quad - \sigma_n (1 - \sigma_n) d^2\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, z_n \right) \\
&\leq d^2(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p) - \sigma_n (1 - \sigma_n) d^2\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, z_n \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma_n (1 - \sigma_n) d^2\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, z_n \right) \leq d^2(x_n, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p) + \alpha_n d^2(u_n, p)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, z_n \right) = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, we obtain from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z_n, x_n) &\leq d\left(z_n, \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n \right) + d\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n, x_n \right) \\
&\leq d\left(z_n, \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} u_n \oplus \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \sigma_n} x_n \right) + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \sigma_n} d(u_n, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

We obtain from (3.6) and (3.9) that

$$d(y_n, z_n) \leq d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.2), (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \alpha_n d(u_n, x_n) + \beta_n d(x_n, x_n) + \sigma_n d(z_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Furthermore, since S_i is k_i -demimetric mapping for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $k = \max\{k_i\} \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \overrightarrow{y_n z_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle &= -\langle \overrightarrow{z_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle \\
&= -\langle \overrightarrow{((1-\gamma)y_n \oplus \gamma W_N y_n)}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle \\
&\geq -(1-\gamma)\langle \overrightarrow{y_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle - \gamma \langle \overrightarrow{W_N y_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle \\
&\geq -\gamma \langle \overrightarrow{W_N y_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle \\
&\geq -\gamma \langle \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \xi_i S_i y_n y_n, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle \\
&\geq -\gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \langle \overrightarrow{S_i y_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i d^2(S_i y_n, y_n) \\
&\geq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1-k_i}{2} \xi_i d^2(S_i y_n, y_n) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i d^2(S_i y_n, y_n) \\
&= \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{-k_i}{2} \xi_i d^2(S_i y_n, y_n) \\
&\geq \frac{-k}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i d^2(S_i y_n, y_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{-k}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i d^2(S_i y_n, y_n) &\leq \langle \overrightarrow{y_n z_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n p} \rangle \\
&\leq d(y_n, z_n) d(y_n, p).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since $\{y_n\}$ is bounded, $k \leq 0$, and $\gamma, \xi_i \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, then we find from (3.10) and (3.12) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(S_i y_n, y_n) = 0, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \tag{3.13}$$

Now, since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and X is complete CAT(0) spaces, we conclude from Lemma 2.6 that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\Delta\text{-lim } x_{n_j} = v \in X$. By (3.6), we get $\Delta\text{-lim } y_{n_j} = v$. With (3.13) and the fact that S_i is Δ -demimetric at 0, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we obtain that $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$. Furthermore, Ψ_λ^i is firmly nonexpansive, in particular, it is nonexpansive for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Hence by (3.6), we obtain that $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i^{-1}(0)) = \Upsilon$. Thus, from Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{x_n v} \rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Letting $w_n := \frac{\beta_n}{1-\alpha_n} x_n \oplus \frac{\sigma_n}{1-\alpha_n} z_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{w_n v} \rangle &= \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{w_n x_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{x_n v} \rangle \\
&\leq d(u_n, v) d(w_n, x_n) + \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{x_n v} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{x_n v} \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_n}{1-\alpha_n} d(u_n, v) d(x_n, z_n) + d(u_n, v) d(x_n, v) + \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{x_n v} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of the fact that $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with (3.9) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{w_n v} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d(w_n, v) &= d\left(\frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} x_n \oplus \frac{\sigma_n}{1 - \alpha_n} z_n, v\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} d(x_n, v) + \frac{\sigma_n}{1 - \alpha_n} d(z_n, v) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} d(x_n, v) + \frac{\sigma_n}{1 - \alpha_n} d(x_n, v) \\ &= d(x_n, v). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that $x_n \rightarrow v$. Using (3.2), and letting $\vartheta_n := \alpha_n v \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) z_n$ and $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) w_n$, we conclude from Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.15 that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n+1}, v) &\leq d^2(\vartheta_n, v) + 2\langle \overrightarrow{x_{n+1} \vartheta_n}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1} v} \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(w_n, v) + 2\langle \overrightarrow{\vartheta_n x_{n+1}}, \overrightarrow{v x_{n+1}} \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(x_n, v) + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{w_n v} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d^2(x_{n+1}, v) \leq (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(x_n, v) + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n v}, \overrightarrow{w_n v} \rangle. \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.15), (3.16) and Lemma 2.16, we obtain $d(x_n, v) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $x_n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2. Suppose that $\{d(x_n, p)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a not monotone decreasing real sequence. Set $\Upsilon_n := d(x_n, x^*)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, there exists a subsequence Υ_{n_s} of Υ_n such that $\Upsilon_{n_s} < \Upsilon_{n_s+1}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Now, define $\tau : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\tau(n) = \max\{k \leq n : \Upsilon_k < \Upsilon_{k+1}\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.17 that $\Upsilon_{\tau(n)} \leq \Upsilon_{\tau(n)+1}$. Using (3.4), we get

$$\sigma_{\tau(n)} \sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{i-1} x_{\tau(n)}, \Psi_\lambda^i x_{\tau(n)}) \leq d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, v) - d^2(x_{\tau(n)+1}, v) + \alpha_{\tau(n)} d^2(u_{\tau(n)}, v).$$

Now, $\alpha_{\tau(n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N d^2(\Psi_\lambda^{i-1} x_{\tau(n)}, \Psi_\lambda^i x_{\tau(n)}) = 0.$$

Following an argument similar to the one in Case 1, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{\tau(n)}, x_{\tau(n)}) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{\tau(n)}, z_{\tau(n)}) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u_{\tau(n)}, x_{\tau(n)}) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\tau(n)+1}, x_{\tau(n)}) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Siy_{\tau(n)}, y_{\tau(n)}). \quad (3.17)$$

Following the similar argument of proof in Case 1, we get

$$\langle \overrightarrow{u_{\tau(n)} v}, \overrightarrow{w_{\tau(n)} v} \rangle \leq 0.$$

From (3.16), we have

$$d^2(x_{\tau(n)+1}, p) \leq (1 - \alpha_{\tau(n)})d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, p) + 2\alpha_{\tau(n)}\langle \overrightarrow{u_{\tau(n)}}, \overrightarrow{w_{\tau(n)}} \rangle.$$

Since $d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, p) < d^2(x_{\tau(n)+1}, p)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\tau(n)}d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, p) &\leq d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, p) - d^2(x_{\tau(n)+1}, p) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_{\tau(n)}\langle \overrightarrow{u_{\tau(n)}}, \overrightarrow{w_{\tau(n)}} \rangle \\ &< 2\alpha_{\tau(n)}\langle \overrightarrow{u_{\tau(n)}}, \overrightarrow{w_{\tau(n)}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\alpha_{\tau(n)} > 0$, we obtain

$$d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, p) < 2\langle \overrightarrow{u_{\tau(n)}}, \overrightarrow{w_{\tau(n)}} \rangle.$$

Since $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{u_{\tau(n)}}, \overrightarrow{w_{\tau(n)}} \rangle \leq 0$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^2(x_{\tau(n)}, p) \leq 0.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\tau(n)}, p) = 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\tau(n)+1}, x_{\tau(n)}) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\tau(n)}, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\tau(n)+1}, p) = 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.17, we obtain $d(x_n, p) \leq d(x_{\tau(n)+1}, p) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $x_n \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Let X be complete CAT(0) space and let X^* be its dual space. Let $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function with domain $\mathbb{D}(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) < +\infty\}$. Then the subdifferential of f is a set-valued function $\partial f : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is defined by

$$\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} \{x^* \in X^* : f(z) - f(x) \geq \langle x^*, \overrightarrow{xz} \rangle, (z \in X)\}, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{D}(f), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It has been shown in [14] that

- (1) ∂f is a monotone operator;
- (2) ∂f satisfies the range condition. That is, $\mathbb{D}(J_\lambda^{\partial f}) = X$ for all $\lambda > 0$;
- (3) f attains its minimum at $x \in X$ if and only if $0 \in \partial f(x)$.

Now, we consider the following Minimization Problem (MP), which consists of finding $x \in X$ such that

$$f(x) = \min_{y \in X} f(y). \quad (3.18)$$

From Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space with dual X^* and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of X . Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N : C \rightarrow X$ be a finite family of θ_i -generalized demimetric mappings and Δ -demiclosed at 0 with $\theta_i \in (0, \infty)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Let $f_i : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be a finite family of proper, lower semicontinuous and convex functions. Assume that $Y := \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^N \partial f_i^{-1}(0)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $u_n \rightarrow u \in X$.*

Assume $k \in (0, \gamma)$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta_{ik} > 0$. For any $x_1 \in X$, let $\{x_n\}$ in X be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = J_\lambda^{\partial f_N} \circ J_\lambda^{\partial f_{N-1}} \circ \dots \circ J_\lambda^{\partial f_2} \circ J_\lambda^{\partial f_1} x_n, \\ z_n = (1 - \gamma)y_n \oplus \gamma \left[\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \xi_i ((1 - k) \oplus kT_i)y_n \right], \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n \oplus \beta_n x_n \oplus \sigma_n z_n, \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\sigma_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sigma_n = 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in Y$.

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, using Theorem 3.1, we obtain new strong convergence theorems in complete CAT(0) space.

Definition 4.1. [41] Let C be a nonempty subset of a CAT(0) space X . A mapping $T : C \rightarrow X$ is called a strict pseudo-contraction if there exists a constant $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that

$$d^2(Tx, Ty) \leq d^2(x, y) + 4\delta \left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}Ty, \frac{1}{2}Tx \oplus \frac{1}{2}y \right) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. If (4.1) holds, we also say that T is a δ -strict pseudo-contraction.

The definition of pseudo-contractions finds its origin in Hilbert spaces. Note that the class of strict pseudo-contractions strictly includes the class of nonexpansive mappings. That is, T is nonexpansive if and only if T is a 0-strict pseudo-contraction.

Lemma 4.1. [41] Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Hadamard space X and let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be δ -strict pseudocontraction. Define $T_\delta : C \rightarrow X$ by $T_\delta x = \delta x \oplus (1 - \delta)Tx$. Then T_δ is nonexpansive mapping and $F(T) = F(T_\delta)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hadamard space X . Let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -strict pseudo-contraction such that $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $W_\alpha = ((1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T)Sx$, for any $x \in C$, where $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1+\delta}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then $F(W_\alpha) = F(S) \cap F(T)$. Furthermore, if $F(W_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then W_α is 2-generalized demimetric.

Proof. First, we show that $F(W_\alpha) = F(S) \cap F(T)$. It is easy to prove that $F(S) \cap F(T) \subseteq F(W_\alpha)$. Next, we show that $F(W_\alpha) \subseteq F(S) \cap F(T)$ for any $x \in F(W_\alpha)$ and $y \in F(S) \cap F(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x, y) &= d^2((1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T)Sx, y) \\ &= (1 - \alpha)d^2(Sx, y) + \alpha d^2(TSx, y) - \alpha(1 - \alpha)d^2(Sx, TSx) \\ &= d^2(Sx, y) - \alpha d^2(Sx, y) \\ &\quad + \alpha d^2(TSx, y) - \alpha(1 - \alpha)d^2(Sx, TSx). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Since T is δ -strictly pseudocontractive, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(TSx, y) &\leq d^2(Sx, y) + 4\delta d^2\left(\frac{1}{2}Sx \oplus \frac{1}{2}y, \frac{1}{2}TSx \oplus \frac{1}{2}y\right) \\
&\leq d^2(Sx, y) + \delta[d^2(Sx, y) + d^2(TSx, y) + d^2(Sx, TSx) \\
&\quad + d^2(y, y) - d^2(Sx, y) - d^2(y, TSx)] \\
&= d^2(Sx, y) + \delta d^2(Sx, y) + \delta d^2(TSx, y) \\
&\quad + \delta d^2(Sx, TSx) - \delta d^2(Sx, y) - \delta d^2(y, TSx) \\
&= d^2(Sx, y) + \delta d^2(Sx, TSx).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x, y) &\leq d^2(Sx, y) + \alpha\delta d^2(Sx, TSx) - \alpha(1 - \alpha)d^2(Sx, TSx) \\
&\leq d^2(x, y) - \alpha(1 - \alpha(1 + \delta))d^2(Sx, TSx).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(1 - \alpha(1 + \delta))d^2(Sx, TSx) \leq 0.$$

By the virtue of $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1+\delta}$, we have $\alpha(1 - \alpha(1 + \delta)) > 0$ and then

$$TSx = Sx. \tag{4.4}$$

By (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x, Sx) &= d(((1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T)Sx, Sx) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha)d(Sx, Sx) + \alpha d(TSx, Sx) \\
&\leq \alpha d(x, Sx),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1 - \alpha)d(x, Sx) \leq 0.$$

Since $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$d(x, Sx) = 0, \implies x = Sx. \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, we obtain that $x \in F(S)$. From (4.4) and (4.5), we get $x = Sx = TSx = Tx$, so $x \in F(T)$. Hence $x \in F(S) \cap F(T)$. So, $F(W_\alpha) \subset F(S) \cap F(T)$ hold.

Next, we show that W_α is 2-generalized demimetric. Let $p \in F(W_\alpha)$. Then, $p \in F(S) \cap F(T)$. From Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(W_\alpha x, p) &\leq d^2([(1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T]Sx, p) \\
&\leq d^2(Sx, p) \\
&\leq d^2(x, p).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Then, it follows (2.1) and (4.6) that

$$2\langle \overrightarrow{xW_\alpha x}, \overrightarrow{px} \rangle + d^2(x, p) + d^2(W_\alpha x, x) \leq d^2(x, p),$$

thus,

$$d^2(W_\alpha x, x) \leq 2\langle \overrightarrow{xW_\alpha x}, \overrightarrow{x\bar{p}} \rangle.$$

Hence, W_α is 2-generalized demimetric mapping. This complete the proof. \square

Lemma 4.3. [19] *Let C be a closed and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X and let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in C such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, x_n) = 0$ and $\Delta - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$. Then $p = Tp$.*

With the help of Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a complete $CAT(0)$ space with dual X^* and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of X . Let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -strict pseudo-contraction such that $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1+\delta}$, let $W_\alpha := ((1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T)S$. Let $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be multivalued monotone mappings, which satisfy the range condition. Assume that $\Upsilon := F(T) \cap F(S) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i^{-1}(0)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $u_n \rightarrow u \in X$. For any $x_1 \in X$, let $\{x_n\}$ in X be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = J_\lambda^N \circ J_\lambda^{N-1} \circ \dots \circ J_\lambda^2 \circ J_\lambda^1 x_n, \\ z_n = (1 - \gamma)y_n \oplus \gamma W_\alpha y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n \oplus \beta_n x_n \oplus \sigma_n z_n, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$, and $\{\sigma_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sigma_n = 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in \Upsilon$.

Proof. Since T is δ -strictly pseudo-contractive and S is nonexpansive. From Lemma 4.1, we have that $T_\alpha := (1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T$ is nonexpansive. From Lemma 4.3, $(1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T$ and S are Δ -demiconvex at zero. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T_\alpha x_n, x_n) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx_n, x_n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(W_\alpha x_n, x_n) &= d(((1 - \alpha)I \oplus \alpha T)Sx_n, x_n) \\ &\leq d(T_\alpha Sx_n, T_\alpha x_n) + d(T_\alpha x_n, x_n) \\ &\leq d(Sx_n, x_n) + d(T_\alpha x_n, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(W_\alpha x_n, x_n) = 0$. Since $F(T) \cap F(S) \neq \emptyset$, we find from Lemma 4.2 that W_α is 2-generalized demimetric mapping. We obtain the desired Theorem 3.1. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for useful suggestions, which improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.H. Cuong, J.C. Yao, N.D. Yen, Qualitative properties of the minimum sum-of-squares clustering problem, *Optimization*, 69 (2020), 2131-2154.
- [2] N.T. An, P.D. Dong, X. Qin, Robust feature selection via nonconvex sparsity-based methods, *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* 5 (2021), 59-77.
- [3] T. Humphries, M. Loreto, B. Halter, W. O'Keeffe, L. Ramirez, Comparison of regularized and superiorized methods for tomographic image reconstruction, *J. Appl. Numer. Optim.* 2 (2020), 77-99.
- [4] M. Tian, G. Xu, Inertial modified Tseng's extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* 2020 (2020), Article ID 35.

- [5] X. Qin, N.T. An, Smoothing algorithms for computing the projection onto a Minkowski sum of convex sets, *Comput. Optim. Appl.* 74 (2019), 821-850.
- [6] B. Martinet, Régularisation d'inéquations variationnelles par approximations successives, *Rev. Fr. Inform. Rech. Opér.* 4 (1970), 154-158.
- [7] R. T. Rockafellar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 14 (1976), 877-898.
- [8] S.Y. Cho, X. Qin, L. Wang, Strong convergence of a splitting algorithm for treating monotone operators, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 94.
- [9] F. U. Oguisris, O. T. Mewomo, Iterative solution of split variational inclusion problem in real Banach space, *Afr. Math.* 28 (2017), 295-309.
- [10] X. Qin, S.Y. Cho, L. Wang, Strong convergence of an iterative algorithm involving nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type, *Optimization*, 67 (2018), 1377-1388.
- [11] Y. Shehu, Convergence results of forward-backward algorithms for sum of monotone operators in Banach spaces, *Results Math.* 74 (2019), 138.
- [12] S. Suantai, Y. Shehu, P. Cholamjiak, Nonlinear iterative methods for solving the split common null point problem in Banach spaces, *Optim. Methods Softw.* 34 (2019), 853-874.
- [13] C.C. Okeke, C. Izuchukwu, A strong convergence theorem for monotone inclusion and minimization problems in complete CAT(0) spaces, *Optim. Methods Softw.* 34 (2019), 1168-1183.
- [14] A. B. Kakavandi, M. Amini, Duality and subdifferential for convex functions on complete CAT(0) metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73 (2010), 3450-3455.
- [15] H. Khatibzadeh, S. Ranjbar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm in complete CAT(0) metric spaces, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 103 (2017), 70-90.
- [16] S. Ranjbar, H. Khatibzadeh, Strong and Δ -convergence to a zero of a monotone operator in CAT(0) spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 14 (2017), 56.
- [17] C. E. Chidume, A. U. Bello, P. Ndambomve, Strong and Δ -convergence theorems for common fixed points of a finite family of multivalued demicontractive mappings in CAT(0) spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014 (2014), 805168.
- [18] S. Dhompongsa, K. W. Kirk, B. Panyanak, Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 8 (2007), 35-45.
- [19] W. A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (2008), 3689-3696.
- [20] J. Merryfield, J.D. Stein Jr, Generalization of the Banach contraction principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 273 (2002), 112-120.
- [21] K.O. Aremu, C. Izuchukwu, G.C. Ugwunnadi, O. T. Mewomo, On the proximal point algorithm and demimetric mapping in CAT(0) space, *Demonstr. Math.* 51 (2018), 277-294.
- [22] G.C. Ugwunnadi, O.C. Collins, V.M. Magagula, A.R. Khan, Monotone inclusion problem and fixed point problem of a generalized demimetric mapping in CAT(0) spaces, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series-2* 70 (2021), 365-387.
- [23] M. R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, *Fundamental Principle of Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1999.
- [24] K. Goebel, S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [25] J. Jost, *Nonpositive Curvature: Geometric and Analytic Aspects*, *Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich* BirkhNauser, Basel, 1997.
- [26] S. Reich, I. Shafir, Nonexpansive iterations in hyperbolic spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 15 (1990), 537-558.
- [27] I. D. Berg, I. G. Nikolaev, Quasilinearization and curvature of Alexandrov spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* 133 (2008), 195-218.
- [28] H. Dehghan, C. Izuchukwu, O. T. Mewomo, D. A. Taba, G. C. Ugwunnadi, Iterative algorithm for a family of monotone inclusion problems in CAT(0) spaces, *Quest. Math.* 43 (2020), 975-998.
- [29] J. Wang, C. Li, G. Lopez, J.C. Yao, Proximal point algorithms on Hadamard manifold: Linear convergence and finite termination, *SIAM J. Optim.* 26 (2016), 2696-2729.

- [30] S. Dhompongsa, B. Panyanak, On Δ -convergence theorems in CAT(0) spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56 (2008), 2572-2579.
- [31] S. Dhompongsa, W. A. Kirk, B. Sims, Fixed points of uniformly lipschitzian mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 762-772.
- [32] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 8 (2007), 35-45.
- [33] B. Nanjaras, B. Panyanak, Demiclosed principle for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010 (2010), 268780.
- [34] R. Wangkeeree, P. Preechasilp, Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013 (2013), 93
- [35] G. C. Ugwunnadi, C. Izuchukwu, O. T. Mewomo, Strong convergence theorem for monotone inclusion problem in CAT(0) spaces, *Afrika Matematika*, 30 (2019), 151-169.
- [36] B.A. Kakavandi, Weak topologies in complete CAT(0) metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (2013), 1029-1039.
- [37] G. C. Ugwunnadi, Approximating a common fixed points of finite family of demimetric mapping in CAT(0) spaces, *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, 9 (2019), 29-44
- [38] Y. Li, H. B. Liu, Viscosity approximation methods for the implicit midpoint rule of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in complete CAT(0) spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 10 (2017), 1270-1280.
- [39] H. K. Xu, Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* 66 (2002), 240-256.
- [40] P. E. Maingé, Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and nonstrictly convex minimization, *Set-Valued Anal.* 16 (2008), 899-912.
- [41] A. R. Tufa, H. Zegeye, M. Thuto, Convergence theorems for non-self mapping CAT(0) spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 38 (2017), 705-722.